

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF V-MODULES and RINGS

Ayşe Çiğdem Özcan

Hacettepe University
Department of Mathematics
06532 Beytepe Ankara
TURKEY

Abstract

A module M has the property (V) if for every $K \leq M, K \neq M$ and $m \in M - K$, any submodule L maximal with respect to the property that it contains K but does not contain the element m is maximal in M . It has the property (Ve) if (V) holds for every essential proper submodule K and $m \in M - K$. It is shown that M is a V-module if and only if M has the property (V). $M/\text{Soc } M$ is a V-module if and only if M has the property (Ve). Some further characterizations of V-rings and GV-rings are given.

All rings considered are associative, have an identity and all modules are unitary right modules. Let R be a ring and M a module. We write $\text{Rad } M, Z(M), \text{Soc } M$ and $E(M)$ for the radical, the singular submodule, the socle and the injective envelope of M respectively. Let M and N be modules. N is called *M-injective* if for each submodule K of M every homomorphism from K into N can be extended to an R -homomorphism from M into N . M is called a *V-module* by Hirano in [6] (or *cosemisimple* by Fuller [2]) if every proper submodule of M is an intersection of maximal submodules. R is called a *V-ring* if the right module R_R is a V-module. M is a V-module if and only if every simple module is *M-injective*. Following Hirano [6], M is called a *generalized V-module* or a *GV-module* if every simple singular module is *M-injective*. If the module R_R is a GV-module R is called a GV-ring.

In this note we give some characterizations of V-modules and GV-modules in terms of certain maximal submodules.

We write $N \leq M$ for N is a submodule of M . A right R -module M is said to have property (V), (Ve) respectively if

(V) For every $K \leq M, K \neq M$ and $m \in M - K$, any submodule L maximal with respect to the property that it contains K but does not contain the element m is maximal in M .

(Ve) (V) holds for every essential proper submodule K and $m \in M - K$.

1. Modules with Properties (V) and (Ve)

In [8] it is proved that R is a right V-ring if and only if the right R -module R has the property (V).

Theorem 1. *Let M be a module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) M is a V-module.
- (2) M has the property (V).

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $K \leq M, K \neq M, m \in M - K$ and let L be a maximal submodule with respect to the property that it contains K but does not contain the element m . Then $(mR + L)/L$ is a simple R -module. By (1) it is M -injective and so M/L -injective. Also $(mR + L)/L$ is an essential submodule of M/L . Hence $(mR + L)/L = M/L$. Thus L is a maximal submodule of M .

(2) \implies (1) Let X be a simple module, N an essential proper submodule of M , f a non-zero homomorphism from N to X and let $x \in N - \ker f$. Let L be a submodule of M maximal with respect to $x \notin L$ and $\ker f \leq L$. Then $xR + L = M = N + L$ by (2). Hence $N \cap L$ is maximal in N . Since $\ker f$ is a maximal submodule of N , then $N \cap L = \ker f$. Thus f extends to M .

Theorem 2. *Let M be a module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $M/\text{Soc}M$ is a V-module.
- (2) M has the property (Ve).

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $m \in M$ and let N be an essential submodule of M maximal with respect to $m \notin N$. Then $(mR + N)/N$ is a simple module and essential in M/N . By (1) it is $M/\text{Soc}M$ -injective. Since $\text{Soc}M \leq N$, then $(mR + N)/N$ is M/N -injective. Thus $M = mR + N$. This implies that N is a maximal submodule of M .

(2) \implies (1) Let X be any simple module. To prove X is $M/\text{Soc}M$ -injective, let $N/\text{Soc}M$ be an essential submodule of $M/\text{Soc}M$ and f a non-zero homomorphism from $N/\text{Soc}M$ to X . Set $\text{Ker} f = K/\text{Soc}M$ for some $K \leq M$. Then N is essential submodule of M and K is a maximal submodule of N . We consider two cases: Assume K is essential in N . Then K is essential in M . Let $x \in N - K$ and let L be a submodule of M maximal with respect to $x \notin L$ and $K \leq L$. Since K is essential in M , then L is essential in M . By (Ve), L is a maximal submodule of M , and so $M = xR + L = N + L$. Then $N \cap L$ is maximal in N . Hence $K = N \cap L$. Thus $K/\text{Soc}M = (N/\text{Soc}M) \cap (L/\text{Soc}M)$, which is the kernel of f . It follows that f extends to a homomorphism from $M/\text{Soc}M$ to X .

If K is not essential in N , then K is a direct summand of N and $N = K \oplus T$ for some $T \leq N$. Hence $N/K, T$ and X are isomorphic simple modules. It follows that $T \leq \text{Soc}M$. Since $\text{Soc}M \leq K$, then $T = 0$. This is a contradiction which completes the proof.

2. Co-singular Submodule $Z^*(M)$ and V-Rings

A submodule N of M is called *small* in M if whenever $N + L = M$ for some submodule L of M we have $M = L$. A module M is said to be *small* if M is small in $E(M)$ [7]. Let M be an R -module. We set $Z^*(M) = \{m \in M : mR \text{ is small}\}$. We call $Z^*(M)$ a *co-singular* submodule of M . In this note we consider the classes $\underline{X} = \{R\text{-module } M : Z^*(M) = 0\}$, $\underline{X}^* = \{R\text{-module } M : \text{whenever } Q \leq P \leq M, P/Q \in \underline{X} \text{ implies } P/Q = 0\}$, following [5]. Submodules and homomorphic images of small modules are small [7] and \underline{X} is closed under submodules, direct products, direct sums, essential extensions and module extensions. \underline{X}^* is closed under submodules, homomorphic images and direct sums. Any member of \underline{X} is called an \underline{X} -module. $\underline{X} \cap \underline{X}^* = 0$, and since $\text{Rad}M$ is the sum of all small submodules of M , $\text{Rad}M \leq Z^*(M)$ and $Z^*(M) = M \cap \text{Rad}E(M)$. $Z^*(E) = \text{Rad}E$ for any injective module E . In general $Z^*(M) \neq \text{Rad}M$ [e.g. Example 11].

Lemma 3. *Let M be a module and $N \leq M$. Then $(Z^*(M) + N)/N$ is a submodule of $Z^*(M/N)$.*

Proof. Let $m \in Z^*(M)$. Then mR is small in $E(mR)$ so that $(mR + N)/N$ is small in $(E(mR) + N)/N$. Hence $(m + N)R = (mR + N)/N$ is small. Thus $m + N \in Z^*(M/N)$.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a module. Then*

- (1) *If M is small then $Z^*(M) = M$,*
- (2) *If $Z^*(M) = M$ then $M \in \underline{X}^*$,*
- (3) *If M is semisimple injective then $M \in \underline{X}$.*

Proof.(1) Clear from the definitions.

(2) Let M be a module and $Q \leq P \leq M$ be such that $Z^*(M) = M$ and $P/Q \in \underline{X}$. Let $x \in P$. Then xR and $(xR + Q)/Q$ are small and $(xR + Q)/Q \in \underline{X}$. By (1) $(xR + Q)/Q \in \underline{X}^*$. Hence $xR + Q = Q$ and $x \in Q$. Thus $M \in \underline{X}^*$.

(3) Assume M is semisimple injective. Since \underline{X} is closed under direct sums, without loss of generality we may assume M is simple injective. If $Z^*(M) = M$ then M is small in M . This is a contradiction. Hence $Z^*(M) = 0$ and so $M \in \underline{X}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 5. *For any module M , $Z^*(M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Rad}E(M) = 0$.*

Proof. M is essential in $E(M)$.

Proposition 6. *Let R be a ring such that $R/J(R)$ is right Artinian. Then $Z^*(M) = 0$ if and only if M is semisimple injective.*

Proof. Sufficiency is clear from Lemma 4(3). Conversely, suppose that $Z^*(M) = 0$. Then $0 = \text{Rad}E(M) = E(M)J(R)$. Hence $E(M)$ is semisimple and so $M = E(M)$. Thus M is semisimple injective.

Example 7. *Let R be a prime right Goldie ring which is not right primitive (e.g. a commutative domain which is not a field). Then $Z^*(R) = R$.*

Proof. Let $r \in R$ and $E = E(rR)$. Suppose that $E = rR + L$ for some $L \leq E$. If r is not in L , then E/L is non-zero and a cyclic module so that there exists a maximal submodule P of E with L contained in P . The module $U = E/P$ is simple, and if I is its annihilator in R we know that I is a non-zero ideal of R by our hypothesis. But in this case I contains a non-zero divisor by Goldie's Theorem [4, Proposition 5.9] and then $E = EI$ by [9, Proposition 2.6] so that $E = P$, a contradiction. Hence $r \in L$ and so $E = L$ and rR is small. Thus $Z^*(R) = R$.

Lemma 8. *Let R be a ring such that $Z^*(R) = R$. Then for every module M , $Z^*(M) = M$.*

Proof. Let M be a module and $m \in M$. Let $r(m)$ denote the right annihilator of m in R . Then $mR \cong R/r(m)$ and $Z^*(R) = R$ imply that mR is small, and so $m \in Z^*(M)$.

We combine Example 7 and Lemma 8

Corollary 9. *Let R be a prime right Goldie ring which is not a right primitive ring. Then for every module M , $Z^*(M) = M$.*

Theorem 10. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a right GV-ring,
- (2) Every \underline{X}^* -module is projective,
- (3) Every simple \underline{X}^* -module is projective,
- (4) For every R -module M with $Z^*(M) \neq 0$, $Z^*(M)$ is projective,
- (5) Every small module is projective,
- (6) For every R -module M with $Z^*(M) = M$, M contains a non-zero projective submodule,
- (7) For every R -module M , $Z(M) \cap Z^*(M) = 0$,
- (8) For every right ideal I of R , $Z(R/I) \cap Z^*(R/I) = 0$,
- (9) For every R -module M with $Z(M)$ essential in M , $Z^*(M) = 0$,
- (10) $R/\text{Soc}R$ is a V -module and $Z(R) \cap Z^*(R) = 0$,
- (11) Every proper essential right ideal of R is an intersection of maximal right ideals and $Z(R) \cap Z^*(R) = 0$,
- (12) For every essential right ideal K of R , $Z^*(R/K) = 0$ and $Z(R) \cap Z^*(R) = 0$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Let $M \in \underline{X}^*$ and $m \in M, m \neq 0$. Let K be a maximal submodule of mR . Then mR/K is injective or projective. If mR/K is injective, then by Lemma 4(3) $mR/K \in \underline{X}$. Hence $mR/K = 0$. Thus it is projective. It follows that K is a direct summand of mR , and so mR is semisimple and so too is M . As before it can be shown that every simple submodule of M is projective.

(2) \implies (3) Clear.

(3) \implies (4) Since $Z^*(M)$ is in \underline{X}^* by Lemma 4(2) and every simple module is injective or small; the proof is the same as that of (1 \implies 2).

(4) \implies (5) Let M be a non-zero small module. Then $Z^*(M) = M$ by Lemma 4(1). Thus M is projective by (4).

(5) \implies (6) Let M be a module with $Z^*(M) = M$. Let $m \in M, m \neq 0$. Since mR is small, then mR is projective by (5).

(6) \implies (7) Let $m \in Z(M) \cap Z^*(M)$. Then $Z^*(mR) = mR$. Assume $m \neq 0$. Then by (6), mR contains a non-zero projective submodule L . Hence L is isomorphic to $I/r(m)$ for some right ideal I of R . Thus $r(m)$ is a direct summand of I . But, since $m \in Z(M)$, $r(m)$ is essential in R , and so in I , then $L = 0$. A contradiction.

(7) \implies (8) Clear.

(8) \implies (9) Let M be a module with $Z(M)$ essential in M . Let $x \in Z^*(M)$. Assume $x \neq 0$. There exists a non-zero $m \in xR \cap Z(M)$. Then $mR \leq Z^*(M) \cap Z(M)$. Hence $mR \cong R/r(m) \leq Z^*(R/r(m)) \cap Z(R/r(m))$ which is zero by (8). This is a contradiction.

(9) \implies (10) Let X be a simple module, $I/\text{Soc}R$ a right ideal of $R/\text{Soc}R$ and f a non-zero homomorphism from $I/\text{Soc}R$ to X . Set $\text{Ker}f = K/\text{Soc}R$ for some right ideal K of R . Then K is a maximal right ideal of I . If K is not essential in I then $I = K \oplus T$ for some $T \leq I$. Hence $T \leq \text{Soc}R \leq K$. This is a contradiction. It follows that K is essential in I , and so I/K is singular. By (9) $Z^*(I/K) = 0$, and then $Z^*(X) = 0$. Since X is simple then X is injective and so $R/\text{Soc}R$ -injective. It follows that f extends to $R/\text{Soc}R$.

(10) \iff (11) $R/\text{Soc}R$ is a V-module if and only if every proper essential right ideal of R is an intersection of maximal right ideals [10].

(11) \implies (12) Let K be an essential right ideal of R Let $0 \neq x + K \in Z^*(R/K)$. By (11) there exists a maximal right ideal L of R such that $x \notin L$ and $K \leq L$. Then $(xR + L)/L$ is small and a singular module. Next we prove $(xR + L)/L$ is an injective module. Let I be an essential right ideal of R and f a non-zero homomorphism from I to $(xR + L)/L$. Set $T = \text{Ker}f$. Assume T is essential in I . Then T is an essential right ideal in R . By (11) we may find a maximal right ideal J of R so that $T \leq J$ and $I \not\leq J$. Hence $R = I + J$. Since $T \leq I \cap J \leq I$ and $I \not\leq J$, then $T = I \cap J$, and so f extends. If T is not an essential right ideal in I , then $I = T \oplus U$ for some right ideal U of R . Hence U is a simple singular and small module. Thus $U \leq Z(R) \cap Z^*(R)$ that is zero. This is a contradiction for f a non-zero mapping. It follows that $(xR + L)/L$ is an injective module. This is a contradiction for $(xR + L)/L$ is a small module. Hence $Z^*(R/K) = 0$.

(12) \implies (1) Let X be a simple singular module and I an essential right ideal of R . Let f be a non-zero homomorphism from I to X with kernel K . Then K is a maximal submodule of I . If K is not essential in I then $I = K \oplus L$ for some $L \leq I$. Then L is a simple singular right ideal of R . Hence $L^2 = 0$ or $L = eR$ for

some idempotent e of R . Assume $L = eR$. Then $r(e) = (1 - e)R$ is essential in R . This is a contradiction. Hence $L^2 = 0$, and so $L \leq \text{Rad}R$. Since L is singular then $L \leq Z(R)$. Since $\text{Rad}R \leq Z^*(R)$, then by (12), $L = 0$. Hence K is essential in I and so too in R . By (12), $Z^*(R/K) = 0$ and so $Z^*(I/K) = 0$. This and I/K simple imply I/K is injective. Since $X \cong I/K$, X is injective. This completes the proof.

Example 11. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ F & F \end{bmatrix}$ be lower triangular matrices over a field F . $J(R) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{Soc}(R_R) = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ F & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and by [1, Example 4.b] R is a right and left GV-ring and not a V-ring. $Z^*(R)$ is semisimple by the proof of Theorem 10(1 \Rightarrow 2) and $J(R) \leq Z^*(R) \leq \text{Soc}R$. Set $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F & F \end{bmatrix}$ and $L = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. By [3, Exercise 3.B.20-21] K is an injective right ideal and every injective right ideal of R is contained in K . Since the simple right ideal L is injective or small, and L is not in K , then L is small. Hence $Z^*(R) = \text{Soc}(R_R)$ and $J(R) \neq Z^*(R)$.

Theorem 12. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a right V-ring.
- (2) For every R -module M , $Z^*(M) = 0$.
- (3) For every simple R -module M , $Z^*(M) = 0$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) By (1), $\text{Rad}E(M) = 0$. Hence $Z^*(M) = 0$.

(2) \implies (3) Clear.

(3) \implies (1) Let M be a simple module. By (3), $Z^*(M) = 0$. Since M is simple, then M is injective or small. Assume M is small, then by Lemma 4, $M \in \underline{X}^*$. This is a contradiction. Hence M is injective.

We combine Theorem 1 and Theorem 12

Corollary 13. *Let R be a ring. Then, R_R has the property (V) if and only if $Z^*(M) = 0$ for every R -module M .*

Acknowledgement. I am indepted to Professor P.F.Smith (University of Glasgow) and my supervisor Professor A.Harmançı for his very helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- 1 . G.Baccella, Generalized V-rings and von Neumann regular rings, *Rend.Sem.Mat.Padova* 72 (1984), 117-133.
- 2 . K.R.Fuller, Relative projectivity and injectivity classes determined by simple modules, *J.London Math.Soc.* 5 (1972), 423-431.
- 3 . K.R.Goodearl, Ring Theory, Nonsingular Rings and Modules, Marcel Dekker, Newyork (1976).

- 4 . K.R.Goodearl and R.B.Warfield, An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, Lon.Math.Soc.Student Texts 16, Cambridge Uni.Press (1989).
- 5 . A.Harmanci and P.F.Smith, Relative injectivity and module classes, *Comm. in Alg.*, 20(9) (1992), 2471-2501.
- 6 . Y.Hirano, Regular modules and V-modules, *Hiroshima Math.J.*, 11 (1981), 125-142.
- 7 . W.W.Leonard, Small Modules, *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.*, 17 (1966), 527-531.
- 8 . W.Pinsan and H.Xianhui, Some New Characterizations of V-Rings, *Proc. of the Second Japan-China Symposium on Ring Theory*, Okayama, Japan, (1995), 147-149.
- 9 . D.W.Sharpe and P.Vamos, *Injective Modules*, Cambridge University Press, (1972).
- 10 . M.F.Yousif, V-Modules with Krull dimension, *Bull.Austral.Math.Soc.*, 37 (1988), 237-240.