

# SNA 6: processes on networks

#### Lada Adamic



#### Processes on networks

Diffusion (simple)
ER graphs
Scale-free graphs
Small-world topologies
Complex contagion/thresholds
Collective action
Innovation

Problem solving

#### Diffusion in networks: ER graphs

#### review: diffusion in ER graphs



http://www.ladamic.com/netlearn/NetLogo501/ERDiffusion.html

#### ER graphs: connectivity and density

nodes infected after 10 steps, infection rate = 0.15

average degree = 2.5



average degree = 10



When the density of the network increases, diffusion in the network is
 faster

- slower
- unaffected

#### Diffusion in "grown networks"

#### nodes infected after 4 steps, infection rate = 1





http://www.ladamic.com/netlearn/NetLogo501/BADiffusion.html

- When nodes preferentially attach to high degree nodes, the diffusion over the network is
  - faster
  - slower
  - unaffected

#### Diffusion in small worlds

What is the role of the long-range links in diffusion over small world topologies?



http://www.ladamic.com/netlearn/NetLogo4/SmallWorldDiffusionSIS.html

- As the probability of rewiring increases, the speed with which the infection spreads
  - increases
  - decreases
  - remains the same

#### Simple vs. complex contagion

- Simple contagion: each friend infects you with some probability for each unit of time
- Complex contagion: you will only take action if a certain number or fraction of your neighbors do

#### What is the role of the shortcuts?

# Iong range links unlikely to coincide in influence



Relative to the simple contagion process the complex contagion process:
 is better able to use shortcuts
 advances more rapidly through the network
 infects a greater number of nodes

#### networked coordination game

- choice between two things, A and B (e.g. basketball and soccer)
- □ if friends choose A, they get payoff a
- □ if friends choose B, they get payoff *b*
- if one chooses A while the other chooses B, their payoff is 0

# coordinating with one's friends

Let A = basketball, B = soccer. Which one should you learn to play?



fraction p = 3/5 play basketball

fraction p = 2/5 play soccer

#### which choice has higher payoff?

#### d neighbors

p fraction play basketball (A)

□ (1-*p*) fraction play soccer (B)

- if choose A, get payoff
   p \* d \*a
- If choose B, get payoff (1-p) \* d \* b
- so should choose A if
   p d a ≥ (1-p) d b
   or
   p ≥ b / (a + b)



#### two equilibria

everyone adopts A

everyone adopts B

#### what happens in between?

What if two nodes switch at random? Will a cascade occur?

• example:

□ a = 3, b = 2

payoff for nodes interaction using behavior A is 3/2 as large as what they get if they both choose B

nodes will switch from B to A if at least q = 2/(3+2) = 2/5 of their neighbors are using A

#### how does a cascade occur

suppose 2 nodes start playing basketball due to external factors (e.g. they are bribed with a free pair of shoes by some devious corporation)



Which node(s) will switch to playing basketball next?



# the complete cascade





#### you pick the initial 2 nodes

■ A larger example (Easley/Kleinberg Ch. 19)

does the cascade spread throughout the

network?



http://www.ladamic.com/netlearn/NetLogo412/CascadeModel.html

#### implications for viral marketing

if you could pay a small number of individuals to use your product, which individuals would you pick?

## try it on Lada's Facebook network

- you can play with a partner
- each person gets to pick 2 nodes
  - first person picks one blue
  - second person picks one red
  - first person picks an additional blue
  - second person picks an additional red



# Quiz question:

- What is the role of communities in complex contagion
  - enabling ideas to spread in the presence of thresholds
  - creating isolated pockets impervious to outside ideas
  - allowing different opinions to take hold in different parts of the network

# bilingual nodes

- so far nodes could only choose between A and B
- what if you can play both A and B, but pay an additional cost c?

# try it on a line

- Increase the cost of being bilingual so that no node chooses to do so. Let the cascade run
- Now lower the cost.What happens?



The presence of bilingual nodes
 helps the superior solution to spread throughout the network
 helps inferior options to persist in the network
 causes everyone in the network to become

causes everyone in the network to become bilingual

#### knowledge, thresholds, and collective action

nodes need to coordinate across a network, but have limited horizons



#### can individuals coordinate?

#### each node will act if at least x people (including itself) mobilize



nodes will not mobilize

#### mobilization

#### □ there will be some turnout



will this network mobilize (at least some fraction of the nodes will protest)?



#### innovation in networks

- network topology influences who talks to whom
- who talks to whom has important implications for innovation and learning

#### better to innovate or imitate?



brainstorming: more minds together, but also danger of groupthink

working in isolation: more independence slower progress



#### in a network context









#### modeling the problem space

- Kauffman's NK model
- N dimensional problem space
   N bits, each can be 0 or 1
- K describes the smoothness of the fitness landscape
  - how similar is the fitness of sequences with only 1-2 bits flipped (K = 0, no similarity, K large, smooth fitness)

#### Kauffman's NK model



## Update rules

- As a node, you start out with a random bit string
- At each iteration
  - If one of your neighbors has a solution that is more fit than yours, imitate (copy their solution)
  - Otherwise innovate by flipping one of your bits

- Relative to the regular lattice, the network with many additional, random connections has on average:
  - slower convergence to a local optimum
  - smaller improvement in the best solution relative to the initial maximum
  - more oscillations between solutions

#### Coordination: graph coloring

Application: coloring a map: limited set of colors, no two adjacent countries should have the same color



#### graph coloring on a network

- Each node is a human subject. Different experimental conditions:
  - knowledge of neighbors' color
  - knowledge of entire network
- Compare:
  - regular ring lattice
  - small-world topology
  - scale-free networks

Kearns et al., 'An Experimental Study of the Coloring Problem on Human Subject Networks', Science, 313(5788), pp. 824-827, 2006

## simulation





 As the rewiring probability is increased from 0 to 1 the following happens:
 The solution time decreases

- the solution time increases
- the solution time initially decreases then increases again

#### recap

network topology influences processes occurring on networks

what state the nodes converge to

how quickly they get there

- process mechanism matters:
  - simple vs. complex contagion
  - coordination
  - learning