

# **SNA 8: network resilience**

#### Lada Adamic



# Outline

- Node vs. edge percolation
- Resilience of randomly vs. preferentially grown networks
- Resilience in real-world networks

## network resilience

- Q: If a given fraction of nodes or edges are removed...
  - how large are the connected components?
  - what is the average distance between nodes in the components

Related to percolation (previously studied on lattices):



![](_page_2_Picture_6.jpeg)

# edge percolation

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

Edge removal

- bond percolation: each edge is removed with probability (1-p)
  - corresponds to random failure of links
- targeted attack: causing the most damage to the network with the removal of the fewest edges
  - strategies: remove edges that are most likely to break apart the network or lengthen the average shortest path
  - e.g. usually edges with high betweenness

#### reminder: percolation in ER graphs

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

average degree

- As the average degree increases to z = 1, a giant component suddenly appears
- Edge removal is the opposite process – at some point the average degree drops below 1 and the network becomes disconnected

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Quiz Q:

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

In this network each node has average degree 4.64, if you removed 25% of the edges, by how much would you reduce the giant component?

## edge percolation

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

50 nodes, 116 edges, average degree 4.64 after 25 % edge removal 76 edges, average degree 3.04 – still well above percolation threshold

# node removal and site percolation

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

Ordinary Site Percolation on Lattices: Fill in each site (site percolation) with probability p

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Iow p: small islands
- p critical: giant component forms, occupying finite fraction of infinite lattice.

**p above critical value**: giant component occupies an increasingly larger portion of the graph

http://www.ladamic.com/netlearn/NetLogo501/LatticePercolation.html

#### **Percolation on networks**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Percolation can be extended to networks of arbitrary topology.
- We say the network percolates when a giant component forms.

#### Random attack on scale-free networks

Example: gnutella filesharing network, 20% of nodes removed at random

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Targeted attacks on power-law networks

- Power-law networks are vulnerable to targeted attack
- Example: same gnutella network, 22 most connected nodes removed (2.8% of the nodes)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

574 nodes in giant component

301 nodes in giant component

# Quiz Q:

Why is removing high-degree nodes more effective?
it removes more nodes
it removes more edges
it targets the periphery of the network

#### random failures vs. attacks

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. Réka Albert, Hawoong Jeong and Albert-László Barabási. Nature 406, 378-382(27 July 2000); http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v406/n6794/abs/406378A0.html

# effect on path length

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. Réka Albert, Hawoong Jeong and Albert-László Barabási. Nature 406, 378-382(27 July 2000); http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v406/n6794/abs/406378A0.html

#### applied to empirical networks

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Source: Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. Réka Albert, Hawoong Jeong and Albert-László Barabási. Nature 406, 378-382(27 July 2000); http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v406/n6794/abs/406378A0.html

# Assortativity

Social networks are assortative:

- the gregarious people associate with other gregarious people
- the loners associate with other loners
- The Internet is disassortative:

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

Assortative: hubs connect to hubs

Random

periphery

## Correlation profile of a network

- Detects preferences in linking of nodes to each other based on their connectivity
- Measure N(k<sub>0</sub>,k<sub>1</sub>) the number of edges between nodes with connectivities k<sub>0</sub> and k<sub>1</sub>
- Compare it to N<sub>r</sub>(k<sub>0</sub>,k<sub>1</sub>) the same property in a properly randomized network
- Very noise-tolerant with respect to both false positives and negatives

## Degree correlation profiles: 2D

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

source: Sergei Maslov

# Average degree of neighbors

Pastor-Satorras and Vespignani: 2D plot

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Single number

cor(deg(i),deg(j)) over all edges {ij}

$$\rho_{internet}$$
 = -0.189

The Pearson correlation coefficient of nodes on each side on an edge

## assortative mixing more generally

- Assortativity is not limited to degree-degree correlations other attributes
  - social networks: race, income, gender, age
  - food webs: herbivores, carnivores
  - internet: high level connectivity providers, ISPs, consumers

Tendency of like individuals to associate = 'homophily'

# Quiz Q:

will a network with positive or negative degree assortativity be more resilient to attack?

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Assortativity and resilience

#### assortative

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### disassortative

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Is it really that simple?

#### □ Internet?

terrorist/criminal networks?

# **Power grid**

- Electric power flows simultaneously through multiple paths in the network.
- For visualization of the power grid, check out NPR's interactive visualization: <u>http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?</u> <u>storyId=110997398</u>

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Cascading failures**

- Each node has a load and a capacity that says how much load it can tolerate.
- When a node is removed from the network its load is redistributed to the remaining nodes.
- If the load of a node exceeds its capacity, then the node fails

# Case study: US power grid

Modeling cascading failures in the North American power grid R. Kinney, P. Crucitti, R. Albert, and V. Latora, Eur. Phys. B, 2005

- Nodes: generators, transmission substations, distribution substations
- Edges: high-voltage transmission lines
- 14099 substations:
  - $\square$  N<sub>G</sub> 1633 generators,
  - $\square$  N<sub>D</sub> 2179 distribution substations
  - $\square$   $N_T$  the rest transmission substations
- □ 19,657 edges

### Degree distribution is exponential

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $P(k > K) \approx \exp(-0.5K)$ 

# Efficiency of a path

efficiency e [0,1], 0 if no electricity flows between two endpoints, 1 if the transmission lines are working perfectly

harmonic composition for a path

$$e_{path} = \left[\sum_{edges} \frac{1}{e_{edge}}\right]^{-1}$$

path A, 2 edges, each with e=0.5, e<sub>path</sub> = 1/4
 path B, 3 edges, each with e=0.5 e<sub>path</sub> = 1/6
 path C, 2 edges, one with e=0 the other with e=1, e<sub>path</sub> = 0
 simplifying assumption: electricity flows along most efficient path

## Efficiency of the network

#### Efficiency of the network:

average over the most efficient paths from each generator to each distribution station

$$E = \frac{1}{N_G N_D} \sum_{i \in G_G} \sum_{j \in G_D} \epsilon_{ij}$$

 $\varepsilon_{ii}$  is the efficiency of the most efficient path between *i* and *j* 

# capacity and node failure

Assume capacity of each node is proportional to initial load

$$C_i = \alpha L_i(0) \quad i = 1, 2..N$$

L represents the weighted betweenness of a node

Each neighbor of a node is impacted as follows

$$e_{ij}(t+1) = \begin{cases} e_{ij}(0) / \frac{L_i(t)}{C_i} \text{ if } L_i(t) > C_i \\ e_{ij}(0) \text{ if } L_i(t) \le C_i \end{cases} \text{ load exceeds capacity}$$

- Load is distributed to other nodes/edges
- The greater a (reserve capacity), the less susceptible the network to cascading failures due to node failure

## power grid structural resilience

efficiency is impacted the most if the node removed is the one with the highest load

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

highest load generator/transmission station removed

Source: Modeling cascading failures in the North American power grid; R. Kinney, P. Crucitti, R. Albert, V. Latora, Eur. Phys. B, 2005

# Quiz Q:

Approx. how much higher would the capacity of a node need to be relative to the initial load in order for the network to be efficient? (remember capacity C = α \* L(0), the initial load).

## power grid structural resilience

efficiency is impacted the most if the node removed is the one with the highest load

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

highest load generator/transmission station removed

Source: Modeling cascading failures in the North American power grid; R. Kinney, P. Crucitti, R. Albert, V. Latora, Eur. Phys. B, 2005

### recap: network resilience

resilience depends on topology

also depends on what happens when a node fails

• e.g. in power grid load is redistributed