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Existing Duck application

All ducks quack and swim. The superclass takes care of the implementation code.

Each duck subtype is responsible for implementing its own display() method.

The display() method is abstract, since all duck subtypes look different.

Other duck types inherit from the Duck class.

Duck

- quack()
- swim()
- display()
- //other duck-like methods…

MallardDuck

- display() {
  // looks like a mallard
}

RedHeadDuck

- display() {
  // looks like a redhead
}
Testing Mallard, RedHeadDuck classes

I say quack-quack
I'm a real Mallard duck
I say quack-quack
I'm a real Red Headed duck
Changing Requirement

- No sweat!
  - Add a method `fly()` in Duck
  - Continue to use inheritance
Add a method fly() in Duck

Duck
- quack()
- swim()
- display()
- fly()
  // other duck-like methods...

All subclasses inherit fly()

MallardDuck
display() {
  // looks like a mallard
}

RedHeadDuck
display() {
  // looks like a redhead
}
Executing

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Mallard duck

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Red Headed duck
Something seriously wrong!

All duck types now can fly including RubberDuck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quack()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swim()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>display()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fly()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
	//other duck-like methods…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MallardDuck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>display() {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// looks like a mallard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ReadHeadDuck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>display() {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// looks like a redhead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RubberDuck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quack() {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// overridden to Squeak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>display() {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>// looks like a rubberduck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executing ... What?

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Mallard duck

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Red Headed duck

I say squeak-squeak
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a rubber duckie
Root cause?

• Applying inheritance to achieve re-use
• Poor solution for maintenance

How do we fix this?

• Using inheritance as before
  • Override the fly() method in rubber duck as in quack()
Executing

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Mallard duck

I say quack-quack
All ducks float, even decoys!
See me flap my wings
I'm a real Red Headed duck

I say squeak-squeak
All ducks float, even decoys!
I cannot fly
I'm a rubber duckie
Is the problem solved?

• Any new problems?

Wait a minute

• How about new duck types?
  • Decoy duck?
    • Can’t quack
    • Can’t fly

• How do we solve it?
Summary

• What have we done so far?
• What problems have we solved?
• What problems have we introduced in solving the problems?
• Is there a better way of doing things?
How about Interface?

- Take the fly() method out of Duck superclass
- And make a Flyable() interface
  - Only those ducks that fly are required to implement the interface
- Make a Quackable interface too

```java
interface Flyable {
    fly();
}

interface Quackable {
    quack();
}

class Duck {
    swim();
    display();
    //other duck-like methods…
}

class MallardDuck {
    display();
    fly();
    quack();
}

class RedHeadDuck {
    display();
    fly();
    quack();
}

class RubberDuck {
    display() {
        quack();
    }
}
```
But

• You shoot yourself in the foot by *duplicating code* for every duck type that can fly and quack!
• And we have a lot of duck types
• We have to be careful about the properties – we cannot just call the methods blindly
• We have created a maintenance nightmare!
Re-thinking:

- Inheritance has not worked well because
  - Duck behavior keeps changing
  - Not suitable for all subclasses to have those properties

- Interface was at first promising, but
  - No code re-use
  - Tedious
    - Every time a behavior is changed, you must track down and change it in all the subclasses where it is defined
  - Error prone
#1 Design Principle

• Identify the aspects of your application that vary and separate them from what stays the same

• So what are variable in the Duck class?
  • Flying behavior
  • Quacking behavior

• Pull these duck behaviors out of the Duck class
  • Create new classes for these behaviors
How do we design the classes to implement the fly and quack behaviors?

- Goal: to keep things flexible
- Want to assign behaviors to instances of Duck
  - Instantiate a new MallardDuck instance
  - Initialize it with a specific type of flying
  - Be able to change the behavior dynamically
#2 Design Principle

• Program to a supertype, not an implementation

• Use a supertype to represent each behavior
  • FlyBehavior and QuackBehavior
  • Each implementation of a behavior will implement one of these supertypes

• In the past, we rely on an implementation
  • In superclass Duck, or
  • A specialized implementation in the subclass

• Now: Duck subclass will use a behavior represented in a supertype.
Strategy Pattern
3 classes in code

```java
public interface FlyBehavior {
    public void fly();
}

public class FlyWithWings implements FlyBehavior {
    public void fly() {
        System.out.println("I'm flying!!");
    }
}

public class FlyNoWay implements FlyBehavior {
    public void fly() {
        System.out.println("I can't fly");
    }
}
```
public interface QuackBehavior {
    public void quack();
}

```
Specific behaviors by implementing interface QuackBehavior

```java
public class Quack implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("Quack");
    }
}

public class Squeak implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("Squeak");
    }
}

public class MuteQuack implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("<< Silence >>");
    }
}
```
Integrating the Duck Behavior

1. Add 2 instance variables:

   Behavior variables are declared as the behavior SUPERTYPE
   These general methods replace fly() and quack()

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duck</th>
<th>Instance variables hold a reference to a specific behavior at runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FlyBehavior flyBehavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuackBehavior quackBehavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performQuack()</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swim()</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display()</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performFly()</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>//OTHER duck-like methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Implement `performQuack()`

```java
public abstract class Duck {
    // Declare two reference variables for the behavior interface types
    FlyBehavior flyBehavior;
    QuackBehavior quackBehavior; // All duck subclasses inherit these
    // etc

    public Duck(FlyBehavior f, QuackBehavior q) {
    }

    public Duck() {
    }

    public void performQuack() {
        quackBehavior.quack(); // Delegate to the behavior class
    }
}
```
3. How to set the quackBehavior variable & flyBehavior variable

```java
public class MallardDuck extends Duck {

    public MallardDuck() {

        quackBehavior = new Quack();
        // A MallardDuck uses the Quack class to handle its quack,
        // so when performQuack is called, the responsibility for the quack
        // is delegated to the Quack object and we get a real quack

        flyBehavior = new FlyWithWings();
        // And it uses flyWithWings as its flyBehavior type

    }

    public void display() {
        System.out.println("I'm a real Mallard duck");
    }
}
```
Testing the Duck code

Type and compile:

• Duck class and the MallardDuck class
• FlyBehavior interface and the two behavior implementation classes (FlyWithWings.java and flyNoWay.java)
• QuackBehavior interface and 3 behavior implementation classes
• Test class (MiniDuckSimulator.java)
// 1. Duck class
public abstract class Duck {
    // Reference variables for the behavior interface types
    FlyBehavior flyBehavior;
    QuackBehavior quackBehavior; // All duck subclasses inherit these

    public Duck() {
    }

    abstract void display();

    public void performFly() {
        flyBehavior.fly(); // Delegate to the behavior class
    }

    public void performQuack() {
        quackBehavior.quack(); // Delegate to the behavior class
    }

    public void swim() {
        System.out.println("All ducks float, even decoys!");
    }

    // Is it possible to manage all duck's sub-object with this super type?
public interface FlyBehavior {
    public void fly();
}

public class FlyWithWings implements FlyBehavior {
    public void fly() {
        System.out.println("I'm flying!!");
    }
}

public class FlyNoWay implements FlyBehavior {
    public void fly() {
        System.out.println("I can't fly");
    }
}
public interface QuackBehavior {
    public void quack();
}

public class Quack implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("Quack");
    }
}

public class Squeak implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("Squeak");
        System.out.println("<< Silence >>");
    }
}

public class MuteQuack implements QuackBehavior {
    public void quack() {
        System.out.println("<< Silence >>");
    }
}
4. Type and compile the test class (MiniDuckSimulator.java)

public class MiniDuckSimulator {

    public static void main(String[] args) {

        Duck mallard = new MallardDuck();
        mallard.performQuack();
        // This calls the MallardDuck's inherited performQuack() method,
        // which then delegates to the object's QuackBehavior
        // (i.e. calls quack() on the duck's inherited quackBehavior
        //  reference)
        mallard.performFly();
        // Then we do the same thing with MallardDuck's inherited
        //  performFly() method.
    }
}
At the end: Strategy project
Check-in

• We have built dynamic behavior in ducks e.g. a MallardDuck
  • The dynamic behavior is instantiated in the duck’s constructor
• How can we change the duck’s behavior after instantiation?

Changing a duck’s behavior after instantiation

• Set the duck’s behavior type through a mutator method on the duck’s subclass
How to set behavior dynamically?

1. Add new methods to the Duck class
   
   public void setFlyBehavior (FlyBehavior fb) {
     flyBehavior = fb;
   }

   public void setQuackBehavior(QuackBehavior qb) {
     quackBehavior = qb;
   }
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2. Make a new Duck type (ModelDuck.java)

```java
public class ModelDuck extends Duck {
    public ModelDuck() {
        flyBehavior = new FlyNoWay();
        // Model duck has no way to fly
        quackBehavior = new Quack();
    }

    public void display() {
        System.out.println("I'm a model duck");
    }
}
```
Enabling ModelDuck to fly

• Use a mutator (setter) method to enable ModelDuck to fly
3. Make a new **FlyBehavior** type (FlyRocketPowered.java)

```java
public class FlyRocketPowered implements FlyBehavior {

    public void fly() {
        System.out.println("I'm flying with a rocket");
    }
}
```
4. Change the test class (MiniDuckSimulator.java), add the ModelDuck, and make the ModelDuck rocket-enabled

```java
Duck model = new ModelDuck();
model.performFly();
// call to performFly() delegates to the flyBehavior
// object set in ModelDuck's constructor
model.setFlyBehavior(new FlyRocketPowered());
// change the duck's behavior at runtime by
// invoking the model's inherited behavior setter
// method
model.performFly();
```
Big Picture on encapsulated behaviors

Reworked class structure
**Strategy Pattern**

Duck

- **FlyBehavior** flyBehavior
- **QuackBehavior** quackBehavior

- Swim()
- Display()
- performQuack()
- performFly()
- setFlyBehavior()
- setQuackbehavior()

//OTHER duck-like methods

Encapsulated fly behavior

Encapsulated quack behavior

MallardDuck
- display()

RedHeadDuck
- display()

RubberDuck
- display()
HAS-A can be better than IS-A

- Each duck has a FlyBehavior and a QuackBehavior to which it delegates flying and quacking
  - **Composition** at work
    - Instead of inheriting behavior, ducks get their behavior by being *composed* with the right behavior object
Third Design Principle

• Favor composition over inheritance
  • More flexibility
  • Encapsulate a family of algorithms into their own set of classes
  • Able to change behavior at runtime
In a Strategy design pattern, you will:

- Define a family of algorithms
- Encapsulate each one
- Make them interchangeable
You should use Strategy when:

- You have code with a lot of algorithms
- You want to use these algorithms at different times
- You have algorithm(s) that use data the client should not know about
Strategy Class Diagram

Context
  contextInterface()

Strategy
  algorithmInterface()

ConcreteStrategyA
  algorithmInterface()

ConcreteStrategyB
  algorithmInterface()

ConcreteStrategyC
  algorithmInterface()
Strategy makes this easy!

Class

functionX()  
functionY()

StrategyX

functionX()

StrategyY

functionY()
Benefits of Strategy

• Eliminates conditional statements
  • Can be more efficient than case statements

• Choice of implementation
  • Client can choose among different implementations with different space and time trade-offs

• Families of related algorithms

• Alternative to subclassing
  • This lets you vary the algorithm dynamically, which makes it easier to change and extend
  • You also avoid complex inheritance structures
Strategy Pattern

• The strategy Pattern
  • Defines a family of algorithms,
  • Encapsulates each one,
  • Makes them interchangeable.

• Strategy lets the algorithm vary independently from clients that use it