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## INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the study of automorphisms of matrix radical rings. The area has been under active investigation since the 1950s. Automorphisms of the algebra $N T_{n}(K)$ of all (lower) niltriangular $n \times n$ matrices over a field $K$ were described by Dubish and Perlis [1, Theorem 5-7]. It is easy to verify that the automorphism group Aut $R$ of any radical ring $R$ coincides with the intersection of the automorphism group of the adjoint group $G(R)$ and the automorphism group of the associated Lie ring $\Lambda(R)$ of $R$. The adjoint group of $N T_{n}(K)$ is isomorphic to the unitriangular group $U T_{n}(K)$. If $K$ is a finite field, then the group $U T_{n}(K)$ is a Sylow subgroup of $G L_{n}(K)$ and its automorphisms were studied in [13, 14, 16, 17]. For arbitrary associative ring $K$ with identity automorphism groups of

[^0]$N T_{n}(K), G\left(N T_{n}(K)\right)$ and $\Lambda\left(N T_{n}(K)\right)$ were described in [9; 10, Theorem 1]; see surveys in [2,15]. This result was extended to all Chevalley groups in $[11,12]$ and so the problem (1.5) of [6] on unipotent subgroups of Chevalley groups was solved. On the other hand, the question about description of automorphisms of Sylow $p$-subgroups of Chevalley groups over $Z_{p^{m}}$ for $m>1$ [7, Question 12.42] is still open. Let $M_{n}(J)$ be the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over an ideal $J$ of $K$ and
$$
R_{n}(K, J):=N T_{n}(K)+M_{n}(J)
$$

By [4, 11.3.3] Sylow $p$-subgroups of the group $G L_{n}\left(Z_{p^{m}}\right)$ are isomorphic to the adjoint group of the ring $R_{n}\left(Z_{p^{m}},(p)\right)$. Note that for any radical ring $R_{n}(K, J)$ investigations of the question about description of automorphism groups Aut $G(R)$ and Aut $\Lambda(R)$ for $R=R_{n}(K, J)$ have some additional difficulties. In fact, general results in $[9,10]$ were found by using close structural connections between the associated Lie ring and the adjoint group of $N T_{n}(K)$. However, for $R_{n}(K, J)$, these structural connections do not hold; see [7, Question 10.19; 8].

The aim of the present paper is to describe the automorphism group Aut $R_{n}(K, J)$ for arbitrary $K$ and quasi-regular ideal $J$ with certain specific properties. Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 establish the structure of the automorphism group Aut $R_{n}(K, J)$ when $J$ coincides with a one-sided or two-sided annihilator of $J^{t}$ in $K$ for $t \geq 0$. As a corollary, Proposition 3.3 describes automorphisms of $K$-algebra $R_{n}(K, J)$. The order of Aut $R_{n}(K, J)$ is given in Proposition 3.2 for any finite ring $K$ and $J$ as in Theorem 2.1. In particular, for an arbitrary divisor $d$ of $m(1 \leq d<m)$ we obtain $\mid$ Aut $R_{2}\left(Z_{p^{m}},\left(p^{d}\right)\right) \mid=\left(p^{m}-p^{m-1}\right) \cdot p^{2 m}$ and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Aut} R_{n}\left(Z_{p^{m}},\left(p^{d}\right)\right)\right|=\left(p^{m}-p^{m-1}\right)^{n-1} \cdot p^{(2 m-d) \cdot C_{n}^{2}+d(n-2)}, \quad n>2 .
$$

## 1. FUNDAMENTAL AUTOMORPHISMS AND POWERS OF $R_{n}(K, J)$

Throughout this paper $K, J$, and $J^{+}$denote an associative ring with identity, an ideal of $K$, and the additive group of $J$, respectively. If $\left\|a_{u v}\right\|$ is a matrix, then $a_{i j}$ is called the $(i, j)$-coefficient. We denote by $e$, the identity matrix, by $e_{i j}$, the matrix unit of $M_{n}(K)$ in which the $(i, j)$-coefficient is equal to 1 and others are zero. We use standard terminology, as in [3, 4].

The following lemma determines "annihilator" automorphisms of an arbitrary ring $R$. We set Ann $R=\{\alpha \in R \mid \alpha R=R \alpha=0\}$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $\zeta: R \rightarrow \operatorname{Ann} R$ be an additive map. Then
(a) the map $1+\zeta: x \rightarrow x+\zeta(x)$ is an endomorphism of the ring $R$ if and only if $\zeta\left(R^{2}\right)=0$;
(b) if $\zeta\left(R^{2}\right)=0$ and $\mathrm{Ann} R \subseteq R^{2}$, then $1+\zeta$ is an automorphism of the ring $R$.

Proof. (a) It follows from equalities $(x+\zeta(x))(y+\zeta(y))=x y(x, y \in R)$.
(b) Evidently $\operatorname{Ker}(1+\zeta) \subseteq \zeta(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann} R$ and if $\zeta\left(R^{2}\right)=0$, then $1+\zeta$ induces the identity map on $R^{2}$. If also Ann $R \subseteq R^{2}$, then the map $1+\zeta$ is an endomorphism of the ring $R$ with zero kernel. It remains to note that inclusions

$$
R \subseteq \zeta(R)+(1+\zeta) R \subseteq R^{2}+(1+\zeta) R \subseteq(1+\zeta) R \subseteq R
$$

are equalities. The lemma is proved.
For an arbitrary associative ring $R$ the adjoint multiplication $\circ$ and the associated Lie multiplication $*$ are defined as

$$
\alpha \circ \beta=\alpha+\beta+\alpha \beta, \quad \alpha * \beta=\alpha \beta-\beta \alpha .
$$

An element $\alpha \in R$ is called quasi-regular if there exists an element $\alpha^{\prime} \in R$ such that $\alpha \circ \alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \circ \alpha=0$. For instance, the quasi-inverse element for a nilpotent element $-\alpha$ is defined as $\left(-\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\alpha+\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{3}+\cdots$. The adjoint conjugation of $R$ by a quasi-regular element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime} \circ y \circ \alpha=y+y * \alpha+\alpha^{\prime}(y * \alpha), \quad y \in R, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives an "inner" automorphism of the ring $R$. It coincides with ordinary conjugation of $R$ by the element $e+\alpha$ when the ring $R$ contains identity $e$. A ring $R$ is called radical if ( $R, \circ$ ) is a group. Each element $\alpha$ of any radical ring determines an inner automorphism as in (1).

Let $R$ be the ring $R_{n}(K, J)$. It is a radical ring if and only if $J$ is a quasiregular ideal of $K$; i.e., $(J, \circ)$ is a group. The conjugation $\delta^{-1} \alpha \delta(\alpha \in R)$ by an arbitrary invertible diagonal $n \times n$ matrix $\delta$ over $K$ determines an automorphism of $R$ which is called "diagonal." An automorphism $\theta$ of the ring $K$ determines an automorphism $\left\|a_{u v}\right\| \rightarrow\left\|\theta\left(a_{u v}\right)\right\|$ of the ring $R$ if and only if the ideal $J$ is $\theta$-invariant. Such an automorphism of $R$ is called a " $K$-ring" or "ring" automorphism as usual. On the other hand, an automorphism $\theta$ of the additive group $K^{+}$determines an automorphism of the ring $R_{2}(K, J)$ as above if the ideal $J$ is $\theta$-invariant and the relation $(z y)^{\theta}=z^{\theta} y^{\theta}$ is satisfied for $z \in K, y \in J$ and for $z \in J, y \in K$. This generalization of a $K$-ring automorphism will be called a ( $K^{+}, J$ )-ring automorphism of $R_{2}(K, J)$ if $1^{\theta}=1$.

Note that the ring $R$ is generated by sets $K e_{i+1 i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$ and $J e_{1 n}$ since $1 \in K$. The following lemmas describe powers $R^{k}$ and their annihilators in the ring $R$. We put $J^{0}=K$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $k$ be a positive integer and $k=s n+t, 0 \leq t<n$. Then the ideal $R^{k}$ consists of all matrices $\left\|a_{u v}\right\|$ such that the element $a_{u v}$ is placed in the ideal $J^{s}, J^{s+1}, J^{s+2}$ respectively to cases $t \leq u-v, t-n \leq u-v<t$, $u-v<t-n$.

Proof. It is easy to show by induction on $k$. (See also [4, 16.1.2; 5, Theorem 3].)

An ideal $J$ is called nilpotent of class $m$, if $m$ is the smallest positive integer such that $J^{m}=0$. As a corollary of Lemma 1.2 we obtain that if $J$ is a nilpotent ideal of $K$ of class $m$, then the ring $R$ is nilpotent of class $m n$.

Lemma 1.3. The left (resp. right) annihilator of $R^{k}(k=s n+t, 0 \leq t<n)$ in the ring $R$ consists of all matrices $\alpha \in R$ such that all elements of the first $t$ columns (resp. last $(n-t)$ rows) of $\alpha$ are in the left (resp. right) annihilator of $J^{s+1}$ in $K$ and other elements are placed in the left (resp. right) annihilator of $J^{s}$ in $K$.

Proof. It is sufficient to note that elements of the first $t$ rows of matrices of $R^{k}$ are ranged over the ideal $J^{s+1}$. Remaining elements of the first column of these matrices are ranged over the ideal $J^{s}$ by Lemma 1.2.

Let ${A n_{K}} J=\{x \in K \mid x J=J x=0\}$. Then Ann $R=\left(\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right) e_{n 1}$ by
 Lemma 1.2 and an arbitrary annihilator automorphism of the ring $R$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{u v}\right\| \rightarrow\left\|a_{u v}\right\|+\left(\lambda_{n}\left(a_{1 n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\left(a_{i+1 i}\right)\right) e_{n 1} \quad\left(\left\|a_{u v}\right\| \in R\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where additive maps $\lambda_{n}$ of $J$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ of $K$ into $\operatorname{Ann}_{K} J$ satisfy $\lambda_{n}\left(J^{2}\right)=0, \lambda_{i}(J)=0,1 \leq i<n$. We denote by $\zeta_{i}(\lambda)(1 \leq i \leq n)$ an annihilator automorphism (2) of $R$ such that $\lambda_{i}=\lambda$ and $\lambda_{j}$ are zero for all $j \neq i$. It is clear that the annihilator automorphism (2) of $R$ is equal to the product $\zeta_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \zeta_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \cdots \zeta_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$.

Choose an arbitrary homomorphism $\sigma: J^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}$and $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{End}\left(J^{+}\right)$. Consider the following map of the set of all elementary matrices

$$
\begin{align*}
& y e_{1 n} \rightarrow y e_{1 n}+y^{\lambda} e_{11}+y^{\mu} e_{n n}+y^{\sigma} e_{n 1}, \quad y e_{i n} \rightarrow y e_{i n}+y^{\lambda} e_{i 1} \\
& y e_{1 j} \rightarrow y e_{1 j}+y^{\mu} e_{n j}, \quad 1<i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j<n, \quad y \in J \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(We assume that the remaining elementary matrices from $R$ are fixed.) If map (3) determines an automorphism of the ring $R$, then the invariance under (3) of relations $x e_{i 1} y e_{1 n}=x y e_{i n}, y e_{1 n} x e_{n j}=y x e_{1 j}$, and $\left(y e_{1 n}\right)\left(z e_{1 n}\right)=0$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(x y)^{\lambda} & =x y^{\lambda}, \quad(y x)^{\mu}=y^{\mu} x, \quad y z^{\mu}=-y^{\lambda} z, \quad(z y)^{\sigma}=z^{\mu} y^{\lambda} \\
y^{\mu} z^{\sigma}+y^{\sigma} z^{\lambda} & =y z^{\sigma}+y^{\lambda} z^{\lambda}=y^{\sigma} z+y^{\mu} z^{\mu}=0, \quad y, z \in J, \quad x \in K \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\lambda, \mu$, and $\sigma$ satisfy (4), then map (3) preserves all basic relations

$$
x e_{i j}+y e_{i j}=(x+y) e_{i j}, \quad\left(x e_{i j}\right)\left(y e_{j m}\right)=x y e_{i m}, \quad\left(x e_{i j}\right)\left(y e_{k m}\right)=0, j \neq k,
$$

in the ring $R$ and hence it determines an automorphism of the ring $R$ which will be called almost-annihilator. We denote by $\zeta^{(l)}(\lambda)$ (resp. $\zeta^{(r)}(\mu)$ ), an automorphism (3) with zero $\mu, \sigma$ (resp. $\lambda, \sigma$ ). By Lemma 1.3, $\zeta^{(l)}(\lambda)$ is the identity map of $R$ modulo the left annihilator of $R$.

## 2. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

We investigate the automorphism group Aut $R_{n}(K, J)$ of a radical ring $R_{n}(K, J)$. Let $K$ be an associative ring with identity, as above, and $K^{\#}$ be the multiplicative group of all invertible elements of $K$. Denote by $\mathscr{B}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right)$, the subgroup of Aut $R_{n}(K, J)$ which is generated by all annihilator and almost-annihilator (resp. almost-annihilator) automorphisms. Also, we denote by $\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{A}(K, J)$, and $\mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)$, subgroups which form all diagonal, inner, $K$-ring, and $\left(K^{+}, J\right)$-ring (for $n=2$ ) automorphisms, respectively.
The following theorem is the main result of this section.
Theorem 2.1. Let J be an ideal of $K$ such that a one-sided or two-sided annihilator of $J^{t}$ in $K$ coincides with $J$ for a nonnegative integer $t$. Then Aut $R_{n}(K, J)=\mathscr{B} \mathscr{D} \not 2 \mathcal{A}(K, J)$ for $n>2$. If inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{c \in K \mid c J=J c=J\left(\bmod J^{2}\right)\right\} \subseteq K^{\#} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied then Aut $R_{2}(K, J)=\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mathscr{\mathscr { D }} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)$.
Let $R=R_{n}(K, J)$. We require the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. Let $K$ be an associative ring with identity and $n>2$. Then each automorphism of the ring $N T_{n}(K)$ is equal to a product of certain diagonal, inner, $K$-ring, and annihilator automorphisms of $N T_{n}(K)$.

Proof. See [9; 10, Theorem 1].
Lemma 2.3. If an ideal J of the ring $K$ coincides with a one-sided or twosided annihilator of $J^{t}$ in $K$ for a nonnegative integer $t$ and $n \geq 2$, then the ideal $M_{n}(J)$ of the ring $R$ is characteristic.

Proof. If $t=0$, then $J^{t}=K$ and $J=0$ since $1 \in K$. Suppose $t>0$. All powers of $R$ and also their one-sided annihilators are characteristic in $R$. The left (resp. right) annihilator of $R^{t n}$ in $R$ is equal to the set of all matrices of $R$ over the left (resp. right) annihilator of $J^{t}$ in $K$ by Lemma 1.3. The intersection of one-sided annihilators is equal to $M_{n}\left(\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)\right) \cap R$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $J$ be a quasi-regular ideal of $K, n \geq 2$, and let (5) hold for $n=2$. Let $\phi$ be an automorphism of the ring $R$ and let the ideal $M_{n}(J)$ be $\phi$-invariant. Then there exists a diagonal automorphism $\delta$ of $R$ such that the $(i+1, i)$-coefficient of the matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi \delta}$ is equal to 1 for all $i, 1 \leq i<n$.

Proof. Denote the $(i+1, i)$-coefficient of matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}$ by $c_{i}$. First, we show that $c_{i} \in K^{\#}$ for all $i, 1 \leq i<n$. If $n=2$, we obtain $e_{21}^{\phi} \in c_{1} e_{21}+$ $M_{2}(J)$ and

$$
R^{2}=\left(e_{21}+M_{2}(J)\right)^{\phi} R=J e_{21}+c_{1} J e_{22}+J e_{11}+M_{2}\left(J^{2}\right)
$$

since $R^{2}$ and $M_{2}(J)$ are $\phi$-invariant. It gives $c_{1} J+J^{2}=J$ and similarly $J c_{1}+J^{2}=J$. Consequently, $c_{1} \in K^{\#}$ by (5). Suppose $n>2$. The automorphism $\phi$ induces an automorphism of the quotient-ring $R / M_{n}(J)$ which is isomorphic to the ring $N T_{n}(K / J)$ over the associative ring $K / J$ with identity. By Lemma 2.2 there exist elements $f_{i} \in K$ and $u_{i} \in J$ such that $c_{i} f_{i}=1+u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore all elements $1+u_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are invertible in $K$ since the ideal $J$ is quasi-regular.

Choose now the conjugation $\delta$ of $R$ by the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}\right.$, $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$ ) where $d_{1}=1$ and $d_{i+1}=c_{i} c_{i-1} \cdots c_{2} c_{1}, 1 \leq i<n$. Then the $(i+1, i)$-coefficient of matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi \delta}$ is equal to 1 for all $i$ as required.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\phi$ be an automorphism of a ring $R_{n}(K, J)$ such that the $(i+1, i)$-coefficient of a matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}$ is equal to 1 for each $i$, $1 \leq i<n$. Then $\phi \in \mathscr{B} \mathscr{A}(K, J) \mathscr{F}$ for $n>2$ and $\phi \in \mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right) \mathscr{F}$ for $n=2$.

Proof. First, we show that there exists an inner automorphism $\psi$ such that each matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi \psi}-e_{i+1 i}$ has zero $i$ th column. Clearly, for any matrix $\beta$ the $m$ th column of the matrix $\beta e_{k m}$ is equal to the $k$ th column of $\beta$ and other columns of $\beta e_{k m}$ are zero. Let $\alpha_{t}=\left(e_{t t-1}^{\phi}-e_{t t-1}\right) e_{t-1 t}, 1<t \leq n$. The matrix $\alpha_{t}$ is placed in the left ideal $R e_{t t}$ of the ring $R$ and $\alpha_{t}^{2}=0$. By (1) we get

$$
\alpha_{t}^{\prime} \circ e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \circ \alpha_{t}=e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}-\alpha_{t} e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}+\left(e-\alpha_{t}\right) e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \alpha_{t} \in e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}-\alpha_{t} e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}+R e_{t t}
$$

Denote by $d_{j}$ the $(2, j)$-coefficient of the matrix $e_{21}^{\phi}$. Since $d_{1}=1$, matrices $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2} e_{21}^{\phi}$ have zero second rows and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{2} e_{21}^{\phi} & =\left(e_{21}^{\phi}-e_{21}\right) e_{12} e_{21}^{\phi}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(e_{21}^{\phi}-e_{21}\right) d_{j} e_{1 j} \\
\left(\alpha_{2}^{\prime} \circ e_{21}^{\phi} \circ \alpha_{2}\right) e_{11} & =e_{21}^{\phi} e_{11}-\left(e_{21}^{\phi}-e_{21}\right) e_{11}=e_{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the first column of the matrix $\alpha_{2}^{\prime} \circ e_{21}^{\phi} \circ \alpha_{2}$ is equal to the second column of the identity matrix. Suppose that $1<i<n$ and each
matrix $e_{t+1 t}^{\phi}-e_{t+1 t}, 1 \leq t<i$, has the zero $t$ th column. The adjoint conjugation of the element $\alpha_{i+1}$ does not change the $t$ th column of such a matrix since the $t$ th column of $\alpha_{i+1} e_{t+1 t}^{\phi}$ is zero. On the other hand, the $i$ th column of the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i+1}^{\prime} \circ e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \circ \alpha_{i+1}\right)-e_{i+1 i}$ is also zero. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that the $i$ th column of each matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}-e_{i+1 i}$ $(1 \leq i<n)$ is zero.

Consider the product $\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi} e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}, 1 \leq i<n$. Its $i$ th column is equal to the $(i+1)$ st column of the first factor. If $i+1 \neq m$, this product is equal to zero. Therefore, all columns of matrix $\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi}(1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq m \leq n)$ are zeros except the first and $m$ th columns. In particular, $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \in e_{i+1 i}+R e_{11}$ for $1<i<n$ and $e_{21}^{\phi}=e_{21}$. Consequently, the first row of each matrix $\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi}$ for $k>1$ is zero since $e_{21}^{\phi}\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi}=0$. For $n>2$ we set $\alpha_{1}=$ $-b_{3} e_{21}-b_{4} e_{31}-\cdots-b_{n} e_{n-11}$ where $b_{i+1}$ is the ( $i+1,1$ )-coefficient of the matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}$. By (1) we obtain

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \circ e_{21} \circ \alpha_{1}=e_{21}, \quad \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \circ e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \circ \alpha_{1}=e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}+e_{i+1 i}^{\phi} \alpha_{1}=e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}-b_{i+1} e_{i+11}
$$

for $1<i<n$. Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that the $(i+1)$ st row of each matrix $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}-e_{i+1 i}(1 \leq i<n)$ is also zero. Since $e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi}=0$ for $i \neq k, 1 \leq i<n$, we obtain that all rows of a matrix $\left(x e_{k m}\right)^{\phi}$ are zeros except the $k$ th and $n$th rows. In particular, the restriction of $\phi$ on $N T_{n}(K)$ is an automorphism of the ring $N T_{n}(K)$.

Suppose $n>2$. By Lemma 2.2 there exist an automorphism $\theta$ of the ring $K$ and endomorphisms $\phi_{i}$ of the additive group $K^{+}$such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(x e_{i+1 i}\right)^{\phi}=x^{\theta} e_{i+1 i}+x^{\phi_{i}} e_{n 1},  \tag{6}\\
e_{i+1 i}^{\phi}=e_{i+1 i}+a_{i} e_{n 1}, \quad a_{1}=a_{n-1}=0 \quad(x \in K, 1 \leq i<n) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $a_{i}=1^{\phi_{i}}$. Clearly $\left(x e_{i j}\right)^{\phi}=x^{\theta} e_{i j}$ for $i-j>1$. The relations $y e_{n 1}=$ $e_{n n-1} \cdots e_{32}\left(y e_{21}\right)=e_{n 1}\left(y e_{1 n}\right) e_{n 1}$ are $\phi$-invariant for all $y \in J$. Hence the $(1, n)$-coefficient of a matrix $\left(y e_{1 n}\right)^{\phi}$ is equal to $y^{\theta}$. By using (6) and (7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y e_{1 n}\right)^{\phi}=y^{\theta} e_{1 n}+y^{\lambda} e_{11}+y^{\mu} e_{n n}+y^{\sigma} e_{n 1}, \quad\left(y e_{i n}\right)^{\phi}=y^{\theta} e_{i n}+y^{\lambda} e_{i 1}, \\
& \left(y e_{1 j}\right)^{\phi}=\left(y e_{1 n}\right)^{\phi} e_{n j}=y^{\theta} e_{1 j}+y^{\mu} e_{n j}, 1 \leq j<n, \quad 1<i \leq n, \quad y \in J, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{End}\left(J^{+}\right)$and $\sigma$ is a homomorphism of $J^{+}$into $K^{+}$. Since the set of all $(1, n)$-coefficient of matrices in $R^{\phi}$ coincides with $J^{\theta}$ we obtain the equality $J=J^{\theta}$. Therefore, $\theta$ induces a $K$-ring automorphism of the ring $R$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\theta$ is the identity map of $K$. The $\phi$-invariance of relations $\left(K e_{i+1 i}\right)\left(J e_{1 n}\right)=0=\left(J e_{1 n}\right)\left(K e_{i i-1}\right)$ gives $\left(K^{\phi_{i}}\right) J=0=J\left(K^{\phi_{i}-1}\right)$ for $1<i<n$. Also we obtain

$$
\left(x J e_{i+1 i}\right)^{\phi}=\left(x e_{i+1 i}\right)^{\phi}\left(J e_{i i}\right)^{\phi}=\left(x e_{i+1 i}+x^{\phi_{i}} e_{n 1}\right)\left(J e_{i i}\right), \quad 1 \leq i<n, \quad x \in K .
$$

Consequently, $J^{\phi_{i}}=\left(K^{\phi_{i}}\right) J=a_{i} J$ and similarly $J^{\phi_{i}}=J\left(K^{\phi_{i}}\right)=J a_{i}$. Taking into account (7) we get that $\phi$ is a product of the annihilator and almostannihilator automorphisms as in Section 1.
Assume $n=2$. Let $x^{\theta}$ be the (2,1)-coefficient of a matrix $\left(x e_{21}\right)^{\phi}$ for $x \in K$. As above, we get $1^{\theta}=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x e_{21}\right)^{\phi}=x^{\theta} e_{21}(x \in K), \\
& e_{21}\left(y e_{12}\right)^{\phi} e_{21}=\left[e_{21}\left(y e_{12}\right) e_{21}\right]^{\phi}=y^{\theta} e_{21}, \quad y \in J .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (8) is satisfied and $\theta$ is an automorphism of the additive group $K^{+}$such that $J^{\theta}=J$. Finally, relations $(z y)^{\theta} e_{21}=\left(z e_{21}\right)^{\phi}\left(y e_{11}\right)^{\phi}=z^{\theta} y^{\theta} e_{21}$ show that the relation $(z y)^{\theta}=z^{\theta} y^{\theta}$ is satisfied for $z \in K, y \in J$ and similarly for $z \in J, y \in K$. Consequently, $\phi$ is a product of the almost-annihilator and ( $K^{+}, J$ )-ring automorphisms of $R_{2}(K, J)$. The lemma is proved.

Now Theorem 2.1 follows easily by Lemmas 2.3-2.5.
We consider some cases when the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold.
(A) Let $J$ be a maximal ideal of $K$ which is nilpotent of a class $t+1>1$. Then $\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)=J$ since $\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)$ is a proper ideal of $K$ which contains $J$. If $K$ is a local ring, then $K \backslash J=K^{\#}$ and (5) is satisfied.
(B) Let $a$ be an element of a ring $K$ and $a K=K a=\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(a^{t}\right)$ for a positive integer $t$. Let $J$ be the principal ideal $(a)$. Clearly $\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)=J$. Suppose $J$ contains one-sided annihilators of $a$. (For instance, $\operatorname{Ann}_{K} a=$ $\operatorname{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)=J$ if $a$ is in the center of the ring $K$.) Then (5) is satisfied. In fact, if $c \in K$ and $c J+J^{2}=J$ then there exist elements $x, y \in K$ such that $(c x+y a-1) a=0$ and $c x \in 1+J \subseteq K^{\#}$. Therefore there exists a right (similarly, left) inverse of $c$ in $K$.
(C) Let $p$ be a prime and $m$ be a positive integer. Let $K=M_{n}\left(Z_{p^{m}}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$ or $K$ is a ring of polynomials in commutative or noncommutative indeterminates (of finite or infinite number) over $Z_{p^{m}}$. If $d$ is an arbitrary divisor of $m, 1 \leq d<m$, and $J$ is the principal ideal $p^{d} K$ of $K$, then the case (B) for $t=(m-d) / d$ holds.

Example 2.6. Let $K_{1}$ be an associative ring with identity which has a nilpotent ideal $J_{1}$ of class two. Let $K$ be a direct product ( $K_{1}, K_{1}$ ) of two copies of the ring $K_{1}$ and let $J$ be the ideal $\left(J_{1}, 0\right)$ of $K$. If $\lambda:(a, 0) \rightarrow$ $(a, a)\left(a \in J_{1}\right)$ then $\zeta_{n}(\lambda)$ is an automorphism of the ring $R_{n}(K, J)(n>2)$ by Lemma 1.1 and the ideal $M_{n}(J)$ is not $\zeta_{n}(\lambda)$-invariant.

Remark 2.7. Let $J$ be an arbitrary quasi-regular ideal of a ring $K$ and $n>2$. All automorphisms of the ring $R_{n}(K, J)$ that leave invariant the ideal $M_{n}(J)$ are described by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. In the general case, the subgroup of such automorphisms does not coincide with the automorphism group of the ring $R_{n}(K, J)$ as the last example shows. However, the
authors have no example of a radical $\operatorname{ring} R_{n}(K, J)$ such that the equality Aut $R_{n}(K, J)=\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D} \&(K, J)$ does not hold.

## 3. THE STRUCTURE OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

We investigate the structure of the automorphism group of a radical ring $R$ in Theorem 2.1. As above, $R=R_{n}(K, J)$. Consider the subgroup series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the multiplicative group of all invertible diagonal $n \times n$ matrices over $K$ by $D_{n}(K)$ as usual. Let $\mathscr{B}_{f}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathscr{B}_{f}$ ) be the subgroup of inner automorphisms that are induced by adjoint conjugations with elements from $K e_{n 1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{K e_{n 1}+\left(\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right) e_{n 2}+\left(\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right) e_{n-11}\right\} \cap R\right)$. Let $\Lambda(K, J)$ (resp. $\left.\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right)$ be the additive group of all homomorphisms $\lambda: K^{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ann}_{K} J$ (resp. $\lambda: J^{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ann}_{K} J$ ) such that $\lambda(J)=0$ (resp. $\lambda\left(J^{2}\right)=0$ ). We also denote by $\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)$ the additive group of all $K$-module homomorphisms of the left $K$-module $J$ into the left annihilator of $J$ in $J$. Using (4) it is easy to verify that maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta_{i}: \Lambda(K, J) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(1 \leq i<n), \quad \zeta_{n}: \Lambda^{\prime}(K, J) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}, \\
\zeta^{(l)}: \Lambda^{(l)}(K, J) \rightarrow \mathscr{B},
\end{gathered}
$$

(see Section 1) are group monomorphisms.
Theorem 3.1. Let $C(K)$ be the center of a ring $K, n \geq 2$, and $C(R)=$ Ann $R+(J \cap C(K))$ e. Let $\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq J$ for $n=2$. Then,
(i) the subgroup series (9) is normal in the group $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{Y} \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ and equalities $(\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D}) \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)=\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J),(\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F}) \cap \mathscr{D}=\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D}$, and $\mathscr{F} \cap$ $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}$ hold;
(ii) there exist the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{D} \simeq D_{n}(K) /\left(K^{\#} \cap C(K)\right) e, \quad \mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J) \simeq K^{\#} /\left(K^{\#} \cap C(K)\right), \\
& \mathscr{F} \simeq(R, \circ) / C(R), \quad \mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D} \simeq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} J e_{i i}, \circ\right) /(J \cap C(K)) e ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) the subgroup $\mathscr{B}$ is a direct product of subgroups $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}, \zeta_{i}(\Lambda(K, J))$, $1 \leq i<n$;
(iv) if $J$ is a principal ideal (a) and $a K=K a$, then

$$
\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}_{f}^{\prime} \times \zeta_{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right) \times \zeta^{(l)}\left(\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)\right) .
$$

Proof. (i) The subgroup $\mathscr{F}$ is normal in $\operatorname{Aut} R$ since $\operatorname{Aut} R \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(R, \circ)$ and $\mathscr{F} \unlhd \operatorname{Aut}(R, \circ)$. It is easy to show that $\mathscr{D} \unlhd(\mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J))$. Similarly, normalizers in Aut $R$ of subgroups $\zeta_{i}(\Lambda(K, J)), 1 \leq i<n$, and $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ contain $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{A}(K, J)$. By (2) subgroups $\zeta_{i}(\Lambda(K, J))$ and $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ generate $\mathscr{B}$ so $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F}$ is a normal subgroup of series (9). Consequently, the subgroup series (9) of the group $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ is normal. We get $(\mathscr{B} \mathcal{F}) \cap \mathscr{D}=\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D}$ since each intersection $\left(K_{i j}\right) \cap R$ is $\mathscr{D}$-invariant. Similarly, $(\mathscr{B} \mathscr{O} \mathscr{D}) \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)=$ $\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)$. Clearly, $\mathscr{P}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{B} \cap \mathscr{F}$ for $n>2$. It is also true for $n=2$ if $J$ is a quasi-regular ideal such that $\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq J$. Suppose that the adjoint conjugation of $R$ by an element $\alpha \in R$ is equal to an element $\chi \in \mathscr{B}$. By (1) we get $\left(K e_{i+1 i}\right) * \alpha \subseteq(e+\alpha)$ Ann $R=\operatorname{Ann} R$ for $1 \leq i<n$ since $\beta^{\chi}-\beta \in \operatorname{Ann} R$ for each $\beta \in N T_{n}(K)$. It follows that $\chi \in \mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{B}=\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}$.
(ii) The subgroup $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the quotient-group of the adjoint group of $R$ by its center. The center of the ring $R$ coincides with the center of the adjoint group and it contains $C(R)$. The inverse inclusion is also true since any matrix $\alpha$ in the center of $R$ satisfies relations $\alpha *\left(K e_{i+1 i}\right)=\alpha *\left(J e_{1 n}\right)=0,1 \leq i<n$. Thus, the center of the adjoint group is equal to $C(R)$ and $\mathscr{F} \simeq(R, \circ) / C(R)$.

The intersection $\mathscr{O} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ coincides with the set of all conjugations of $R$ by matrices from $K^{\#} e$. In fact, if $\theta \in \mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ and $\theta$ coincides with the conjugation of $R$ by a diagonal matrix $\alpha \in D_{n}(K)$, then all elements of the main diagonal of $\alpha$ pairwise coincide because $e_{i+1 i}^{\theta}=e_{i+1 i}, 1 \leq$ $i<n$. The centralizer of $R$ in $D_{n}(K)$ coincides with $\left(K^{\#} \cap C(K)\right) e$. It gives required isomorphisms of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)$. Also we get $\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D} \simeq$ $\left(C(R)+\left(R \cap\left(D_{n}(K)-e\right)\right), \circ\right) / C(R)$. Since $C(R) \cap R \cap\left(D_{n}(K)-e\right)=$ $C(R) \cap\left(D_{n}(K)-e\right)=(J \cap C(K)) e$ we obtain the required isomorphism of $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathscr{D}$.
(iii) Note that the subring $N T_{n}(K)$ of $R$ is $\mathscr{B}$-invariant and each almost-annihilator automorphism of $R$ induces the identity map on $N T_{n}(K)$. By using (2) we obtain $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \times \zeta_{1}(\Lambda(K, J)) \times \cdots \times$ $\zeta_{n-1}(\Lambda(K, J))$.
(iv) Suppose that $J=a K=K a$ for some $a \in K$. The decomposition of the subgroup $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ follows easily if we show that subgroups $\zeta_{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right)$, $\zeta^{(l)}\left(\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)\right)$, and $\mathscr{B}_{I}^{\prime}$ generate the subgroup $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$. Choose an arbitrary almost-annihilator automorphism $\chi$ of the ring $R$. It is determined in (3) by means of a homomorphism $\sigma: J^{+} \rightarrow K^{+}$and endomorphisms $\lambda, \mu \in$ $\operatorname{End}\left(J^{+}\right)$which satisfy (4). In particular, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are $K$-module endomorphisms of the left and right $K$-module $J$, respectively. By (1) we get

$$
\left(-x e_{n 1}\right) \circ\left(a e_{1 n}\right)^{x} \circ x e_{n 1} \in a e_{1 n}+\left(a^{\lambda}+a x\right) e_{11}+\left(a^{\mu}-x a\right) e_{n n}+K e_{n 1}
$$

for all $x \in K$. The equation $a^{\mu}-x a=0$ is solvable in $K$ because $J^{\mu} \subseteq$ $J=K a$. Therefore we can account $a^{\mu}=0$ up to multiplication of $\chi$ by
an inner automorphism from $\mathscr{B}_{I}^{\prime}$. Hence $J^{\mu}=(a K)^{\mu}=a^{\mu} K=0$ since $\mu$ is a $K$-module endomorphism of the right $K$-module $J$. By (4) we obtain $\left(J^{2}\right)^{\sigma}=J^{\mu} J^{\lambda}=0=\left(J^{\mu}\right)^{2}=J^{\sigma} J$ and $J^{\lambda} J=J J^{\mu}=0=\left(J^{\lambda}\right)^{2}=J J^{\sigma}$. Consequently, $\sigma \in \Lambda^{\prime}(K, J), \lambda \in \Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)$, and $\chi=\zeta_{n}(\sigma) \cdot \zeta^{(l)}(\lambda)$. The theorem is proved.

We now consider the order $\left|\operatorname{Aut} R_{n}(K, J)\right|$ of the automorphism group for any finite ring $K$ (which are within Theorem 2.1). Taking into account Remark 2.7 we define $Q_{n}$ to be the order of the subgroup of $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ and $Q_{2}^{+}$to be the order of $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{\mathscr { O }} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)$ for $n=2$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $K$ be a finite ring and $J$ be a quasi-regular ideal of $K$. Suppose $\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq J$ for $n=2$. Then $Q_{2}^{+}=\left|\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right| \cdot\left|\mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)\right| \cdot\left|\mathscr{K}^{\#}\right| \cdot|J|$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}= & \left(\left|\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right| /\left(|K| \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|^{2}\right)\right) \cdot|\mathscr{A}(K, J)| \cdot\left(\left|K^{\#}\right| \cdot|\Lambda(K, J)|\right)^{n-1} \\
& \cdot(|K| \cdot|J|)^{C_{n}^{2}}, \quad n>2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $J=(a)$ for $a \in C(K)$, then $\left|\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right|=\left|\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right| \cdot|K| \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{J} J\right| \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|^{-1}$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathscr{D}| /|\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J)| & =\left|D_{n}(K)\right| /\left|K^{\#}\right|=\left|K^{\#}\right|^{n-1}, \\
|\mathscr{F}| /|\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D}| & =|R| /\left(|\operatorname{Ann} R| \cdot|J|^{n}\right) \\
& =(|K| \cdot|J|)^{C_{n}^{2}}| | \mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \mid, \\
|\mathscr{B}| & =|\Lambda(K, J)|^{n-1} \cdot\left|\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right|, \\
|\mathscr{B} \cap \mathscr{F}| & =\left|\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}\right|=|K| \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $n \geq 2$. Note that the order $|H M|$ of the product of two arbitrary subgroups $H, M$ in an arbitrary group is equal to the product $|H|$. $|M| \cdot|H \cap M|^{-1}$; see [3, Theorem I.4.7]. Therefore, we obtain the required decomposition of $Q_{n}$ by Theorem 3.1(i). Suppose $n=2$ and $\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq J$. Then $\zeta_{1}(\Lambda(K, J)) \subseteq \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)$ and $\mathscr{B} \mathscr{G} \mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)=\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mathscr{\mathscr { D }} \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We get $\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{F}=\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}=\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{f}}^{\prime}$ and $\left|\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{f}}^{\prime}\right|=$ $|K| /\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|$. The formula for $Q_{2}^{+}$follows easily since by 3.1(i) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mathscr{F}\right) \cap \mathscr{D}=\mathscr{F} \cap \mathscr{D}, \\
\left(\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \mathscr{F} \mathscr{D}\right) \cap \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)=\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}\left(K^{+}, J\right)=\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{A}(K, J) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose that $J=a K=K a$ for some element $a \in K$. Each $K$-module endomorphism of the left $K$-module $J$ is uniquely defined by an image of the element $a$ and this image may be an arbitrary element in $J$. Therefore $\left|\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)\right|=\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{J} J\right|$ for $a \in C(K)$. Using Theorem 3.1(iv) we now obtain the required decomposition of $\left|\mathscr{B}^{\prime}\right|$. This completes the proof.

Using Theorem 2.1 we may describe automorphisms of $K$-algebras $R_{n}(K, J)$. Let $\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}$ be the automorphism group of the algebra $R_{n}(K, J)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $K$ be a commutative ring and let $J$ be an ideal of $K$ such that $\operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)=J$ for a positive integer $t$. Suppose (5) is satisfied for $n=2$. Then $\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}=\left(\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }} \cap \mathscr{B}\right) \mathscr{G}$. If $K$ is a finite ring and $J$ is a principal ideal, then $\left|\Re_{\text {mod }}\right|=\left|K^{\#}\right| \cdot|K| \cdot|J| \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|$ for $n=2$ and

$$
\left|A_{\text {mod }}\right|=\left|K^{\#}\right|^{n-1} \cdot\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|^{n-2} \cdot(|K| \cdot|J|)^{C_{n}^{2}}, \quad n>2 .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }}=\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }} \cap \mathscr{B}$ and let $\phi \in \mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}$. By Theorem 2.1 there exist a $K$-ring or ( $K^{+}, J$ )-ring automorphism $\theta$ of $R$ and an automorphism $\chi \in \mathscr{B} \mathscr{O} \mathscr{D}$ such that $\phi=\chi \theta$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\chi \in \mathscr{B}$ since $\mathscr{G} \mathscr{D} \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}$. Similarly $\chi \in \mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ for $n=2$ as in Theorem 2.1 so $\left(x e_{21}\right)^{x}=x e_{21}$ for $n \geq 2$. We get

$$
x^{\theta} e_{21}=\left(x e_{21}\right)^{\theta}=\left(x e_{21}\right)^{\phi}=x\left(e_{21}^{\phi}\right)=x\left(e_{21}^{\theta}\right)=x e_{21} .
$$

Consequently, $\theta$ is the identity map, $\chi \in \mathscr{P}_{\text {mod }}$, and the decomposition of $A_{\text {mod }}$ is proved.

By using Theorem 3.1(iii) we obtain that $\mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }}$ is equal to a direct product of subgroups $\mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }} \cap \mathscr{B}^{\prime}, \mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }} \cap \zeta_{i}(\Lambda(K, J)), 1 \leq i<n$. Clearly, an annihilator automorphism $\zeta_{i}(\lambda)$ (resp. an almost-annihilator automorphism (3)) of $R$ is a $K$-module if and only if $\lambda$ (resp. $\sigma$ ) is a $K$-module homomorphism of the $K$-module $K$ (resp. $J$ ). Therefore, we obtain $\left|\mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }} \cap \zeta_{i}(\Lambda(K, J))\right|=\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|(1 \leq i<n)$ for a finite ring $K$. Suppose $J=a K$ for some $a \in K$. Then $\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }}$ is equal to a direct product of subgroups $\mathscr{B}_{\text {mod }} \cap \zeta_{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right), \zeta^{(l)}\left(\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)\right)$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{F}}^{\prime}$ by Theorem 3.1(iv). Since $\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{K}\left(J^{t}\right)=J$ we get equalities.

$$
\left|\mathscr{B}_{\bmod } \cap \zeta_{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}(K, J)\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{Ann}_{K} J\right|=\left|\operatorname{Ann}_{J} J\right|=\left|\Lambda^{(l)}(K, J)\right| .
$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 we obtain the required formula for $\&_{\text {mod }}$. This completes the proof.

Note that the description of $\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}$ was found by Dubish and Perlis [1, Theorem 5-7] for arbitrary field $K$ and $J=0$. See also [9, Corollary 1]. If $K=Z_{p^{m}}$, then $\mathscr{A}_{\text {mod }}=\operatorname{Aut} R_{n}(K, J)$. Therefore,

Corollary 3.4. Let $K=Z_{p^{m}}$ and $d$ be an arbitrary divisor of $m$ such that $1 \leq d<m$. If $J=\left(p^{d}\right)$, then $\mid$ Aut $R_{2}(K, J) \mid=\left(p^{m}-p^{m-1}\right) \cdot p^{2 m}$ and

$$
\mid \text { Aut } R_{n}(K, J) \mid=\left(p^{m}-p^{m-1}\right)^{n-1} \cdot p^{(2 m-d) \cdot C_{n}^{2}+d(n-2)}, \quad n>2 .
$$

Proof. It follows from the equality $|K|=\left|\operatorname{Ann}_{K} J\right| \cdot|J|$ and Proposition 3.3.

According to [1] the automorphism group Aut $R$ of an arbitrary associative ring $R$ has a normal subgroup $M$ of all "monic" automorphisms of $R$ which induce the identity map into quotient-ring $R^{k} / R^{k+1}$ for all positive integers $k$. Let $R=R_{n}(K, J), n>2$. Clearly $M \supseteq \mathscr{B} \mathscr{F}$. If $J=0$, then $M \cap \mathscr{D}=1$ (see $[1,9]$ ) and even the group Aut $R$ is equal to the semidirect product of subgrpups $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{D} \mathscr{A}(K, J)$ [9]. However, the intersection $M \cap \mathscr{D}$ is nontrivial for each nonzero quasi-regular ideal $J$ by Theorem 3.1(ii).
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