VLBI Estimates of Vertical Crustal Motion in Europe

Emine TANIR (1)
Kamil TEKE (2,3)
Harald SCHUH (2)
aims of this study

• to determine the vertical crustal motion in Europe by means of analysing European VLBI sessions carried out from 1990 to 2010 within the International VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry (IVS), IVS-Europe.

• to compare IVS-Europe VieVS solution results with GNSS-EUREF solution.

• to compare our radial velocity estimates to those of the previous study carried out by Campbell et al., 2002, ITRF2005, and VTRF2008 velocities.
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IVS-Europe sessions have been carried out regularly (6 to 12 sessions per year) since late 1989.

Objectives of these IVS-Europe sessions are

- to determine crustal motion in Europe
- to contribute providing a stable reference frame for Europe (ETRF) with other space geodetic techniques.
IVS European Sessions were analysed by the VieVS VLBI Analysis Software developed at Institut für Geodesie und Geophysik of Technische Universität Wien.

After the estimation of the adjusted coordinates of the antennas at the respective time epochs, position time series of each antenna were produced.

The determination of the VLBI station velocities was carried out by a least-squares fit of a first order polynomial to the position time series.
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**LINEAR FUNCTION**

\[ dX = X_t - X_{t0} = a_0 + a_1(t - t_0) + \varepsilon_t \]

- \( a_0 \): Offset; difference between VTRF 2008 coordinates and new TRF coordinates at epoch 2000.0
- \( a_1 \): Trend; velocity in global cartesian
- \( t_0 \): 2000.0 reference epoch
- \( t \): Epochs of position estimates
- \( X_t \): New TRF coordinates
- \( X_{t0} \): VTRF 2008 coordinates
- \( \varepsilon_t \): Post fit observational residuals

**ESTIMATED PARAMETERS**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Velocities from IVS-Europe Sessions Analysis (cm/year)</th>
<th>Velocities of ITRF 2005 (cm/year)</th>
<th>Velocities of VTRF 2008 (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vx</td>
<td>Vy</td>
<td>Vz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERA</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICINA</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETTZELL</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSALA60</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVETLOE</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYALES20</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. VieVS solution velocity vectors of IVS-Europe Sessions and inter-technique combined TRF solutions of ITRF-2005 and VTRF-2008 (all solutions are at epoch 2000.0)*

*in global cartesian coordinate system*
Conversion of from global cartesian coordinate system to the local topocentric coordinates

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  d_{\text{North}} \\
  d_{\text{East}} \\
  d_{\text{Up}}
\end{bmatrix}
= T \ast \begin{bmatrix}
  d_X \\
  d_Y \\
  d_Z
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
  -\sin \phi \cos \lambda & -\sin \phi \sin \lambda & \cos \phi \\
  -\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda & 0 \\
  \cos \phi \cos \lambda & \cos \phi \sin \lambda & \sin \phi
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\(d_{\text{NEU}} \) \quad \overset{\uparrow}{\text{dX}}

\(\phi \quad \lambda\)

latitude and longitude of station
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**LINEAR FUNCTION**

\[ d_{NEU} = b_0 + b_1(t - t_0) + \varepsilon_{NEU}(t) \]

**ESTIMATED PARAMETERS**

- **\( b_0 \)**: Offset; difference between VTRF 2008 coordinates and new TRF coordinates at epoch 2000.0
- **\( b_1 \)**: Trend; velocity in local topocentric coordinate system
- **\( t_0 \)**: 2000.0 reference epoch
- **\( t \)**: Parameter estimation epoch
- **\( \varepsilon_{NEU}(t) \)**: Post fit observational residuals

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{North} \\
V_{East} \\
V_{Up}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Velocities of IVS-Europe Session Analysis (cm/year)</th>
<th>Velocities of ITRF 2005 (cm/year)</th>
<th>Velocities of VTRF 2008 (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{\text{North}}$ $V_{\text{East}}$ $V_{\text{Up}}$</td>
<td>$V_{\text{North}}$ $V_{\text{East}}$ $V_{\text{Up}}$</td>
<td>$V_{\text{North}}$ $V_{\text{East}}$ $V_{\text{up}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERA</td>
<td>1.92 2.35 0.03</td>
<td>1.95 2.33 0.11</td>
<td>1.92 2.35 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICINA</td>
<td>1.77 2.20 -0.23</td>
<td>1.77 2.21 -0.23</td>
<td>1.77 2.20 -0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETTZELL</td>
<td>1.54 2.00 0.03</td>
<td>1.55 1.99 0.04</td>
<td>1.55 2.01 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSALA60</td>
<td>1.47 1.71 0.35</td>
<td>1.47 1.69 0.36</td>
<td>1.47 1.70 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVETLOE</td>
<td>1.31 2.07 0.04</td>
<td>1.08 2.08 0.40</td>
<td>1.26 1.97 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYALES20</td>
<td>1.40 1.00 0.81</td>
<td>1.42 0.99 0.76</td>
<td>1.42 1.01 0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. IVS-Europe Sessions VieVS solution velocity vectors in north, east and radial directions

in local topocentric coordinate system
Comparison of estimated velocities from IVS-Europe sessions VieVS solution with those of corresponding ITRF 2005 and VTRF 2008 solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>differences between IVS-Europe Sessions and ITRF 2005 (cm/year)</th>
<th>differences between IVS-Europe Sessions and VTRF 2008 (cm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{\text{North}}$</td>
<td>$V_{\text{East}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERA</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICINA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WETTZELL</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONSALA60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYALES20</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Differences between IVS-European Sessions VieVS solution velocity vectors in north, east and radial directions in local topocentric coordinate system
Parameter estimates are statistically significant…

\[
\text{test \_value} = \frac{\text{estimate}}{\text{formal error}} > t_{0.95,f}
\]

\(f\) : degrees of freedom  \(0.95\) : confidence level
VieVS-TRF Solutions of IVS-Europe Sessions, VLBI Antenna: MEDICINA epoch 2000.0

- $v_{\text{radial}} = -0.23 \text{ cm/yr}$
- $v_{\text{east}} = 2.20 \text{ cm/yr}$
- $v_{\text{north}} = 1.78 \text{ cm/yr}$
VieVS-TRF Solutions of IVS-Europe Sessions, VLBI Antenna: NYALES20 epoch 2000.0

- $v_{\text{radial}} = 0.82 \text{ cm/y}$
- $v_{\text{east}} = 1.01 \text{ cm/y}$
- $v_{\text{north}} = 1.43 \text{ cm/y}$
VieVS-TRF Solutions of IVS-Europe Sessions, VLBI Antenna: MATERA epoch 2000.0

- $v_{\text{radial}} = 0.05 \text{ cm/yr}$
- $v_{\text{east}} = 2.35 \text{ cm/yr}$
- $v_{\text{north}} = 1.92 \text{ cm/yr}$
VieVS-TRF Solutions of IVS-Europe Sessions, VLBI Antenna: WETTZEELL epoch 2000.0

\[
v_{\text{radial}} = 0.04 \text{ cm/y}
\]

\[
v_{\text{east}} = 2.01 \text{ cm/y}
\]

\[
v_{\text{north}} = 1.54 \text{ cm/y}
\]
Radial velocity estimates from IVS-Europe VLBI solution coordinate time series
Radial velocity estimates from GNSS-EUREF solution coordinate time series
The transformation between two reference frames can be formulated as a Helmert transformation, e.g. an a priori reference frame (VTRF 2008, ITRF 2005) and the estimated network (IVS Europe Solution).
### 3D transformation between IVS- Europe Sessions VieVS Solution and ITRF 2005 at epoch 2000.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx cm</th>
<th>Ty cm</th>
<th>Tz cm</th>
<th>scale ppb</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3D transformation between IVS- Europe Sessions VieVS Solution and VTRF 2008 at epoch 2000.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx cm</th>
<th>Ty cm</th>
<th>Tz cm</th>
<th>scale ppb</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
<th>alpha (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

• Onsala and Ny-Alesund are rising at rates of 4 and 8 mm/year respectively,

• subsidence of Medicina is about 2mm/year,

• uplift motions of Wettzell and Matera below 1mm/year may not be significant,

• IVS-Europe sessions radial position time series estimated by VieVS software are compatible with the EUREF solution at co-located sites in direction and more or less in magnitude,

• The transformation parameters between IVS-Europe VieVS Solution and global TRF solutions (ITRF 2005 and VTRF 2008) are relatively larger to those of transformation parameters between different ITRF solutions due to mainly;
  – fixing EOPs to their a priories and not estimating (in our solution)
  – the VLBI sites contributing IVS-Europe sessions cover a regional area, Europe (only 1/3 of the northern hemisphere).

Our results agree with those of the previous study done by Campbell et al., 2002, who were using the first 10 years of IVS-Europe sessions.
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Thanks for your attention!!