Quantum correlated light pulses from sequential superradiance of a condensate
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We discover an inherent mechanism for entanglement swap associated with sequential superradiance from an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate. Based on careful examinations with both analytical and numerical approaches, we conclude that as a result of the swap mechanism, Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-type quantum correlations can be detected among the scattered light pulses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Superradiance (SR) commonly refers to cooperative emission from an ensemble of excited atoms with initial coherence or from an ensemble of radiators with an initial macroscopic dipole moment. As coherence-enhanced radiation, SR was introduced by Dicke [1] in 1954 and first observed experimentally in 1973 [2]. It occurs in many systems [3], from thermal gases of excited atoms [4] and molecules [2], quantum dots and quantum wires [5–7], to atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) [8], Rydberg gases [9], and molecular nanomagnets [10]. Recently, serious efforts have been directed toward the study of quantum entanglement between condensed atoms and SR light pulses [11] and entanglement between atoms through SR [6]. Several promising applications, including prospect for quantum teleportation in tangled quantum dots via SR, are proposed [6].

In a pioneering experiment of SR from an elongated condensate, a continuous-wave (cw) pump laser intersects along the short transverse direction [8]. The scattered radiation is dominated by axial or the so-called end-fire modes [1]. The atoms experience recoils as a result of the momentum conservation, exhibiting a fanlike pattern, which reflects the condensate side-mode distribution. More recently, the Kapitza-Dirac regime of SR was observed [12] in a pulsed pump scheme, with momentum side modes displaying the characteristic X-shaped patterns. In this regime, it is predicted that SR pulses must contain quantum-entangled counterpropagating photons from the end-fire modes [13]. It was proposed that quantum entanglement arises from correlations of backward and forward scattered atoms and from the interplay between optical and atomic fields [13]. In this study we show that even for a cw-pumped condensate with scattered atoms forming a forward fanlike pattern, quantum entanglement of the end-fire modes still exists due to an entanglement swap mechanism which we clearly identify during sequential SR process. In quantum information language, entanglement swap is a technique to entangle particles that never before interacted [14–17].

Sequential SR involves successive scattering of the pump laser from the initial momentum distribution of a condensate [8]. Previous studies on SR from an atomic gas have observed multiple pulses or ringing effects, especially among dense atomic samples. Ringing is often explained in terms of the pulse propagation effect [18], where the finite size and shape of the medium play significant roles [19,20]. Adopting semiclassical theories, detailed modeling of SR from atomic condensates has been very successful, essentially capable of explaining both spatial and temporal evolutions of atomic and optical fields [21–24]. The semiclassical treatments, however, can account neither for the influence on sequential scattering associated with ring from side-mode patterns nor for quantum correlations between end-fire modes.

In this paper, we investigate Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR)-type [25,26] quantum correlations between end-fire modes. Such correlations can be detected with well-known methods developed for continuous variable entanglement in down-converted two-photon systems [27,28], employing equivalent momentum and position quadrature variables as observable.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the relevant concepts and describe the model system we consider for investigating sequential SR. We identify the various approximations and derive the full second-quantized effective Hamiltonian. In Sec. III, we review the criteria for continuous variable entanglement, with which we confirm the existence of quantum correlation between SR photons from the end-fire modes. In Sec. IV, we analytically solve the effective Hamiltonian under parametric and steady-state approximations. We clearly identify the swap mechanism and intuitively explain the steps involved for the model Hamiltonian to generate EPR pairs out of noninteracting photons. This represents the key result for this paper. In Sec. V, we describe the method of our numerical calculations under a proper decorrelation approximation. The results are presented in Sec. VI, where we first examine the temporal dynamics of the entanglement in connection with the accompanying field and atomic populations. This helps to illustrate the swap of atom-photon entanglement to the photon-photon entanglement. We then study carefully this swap effect, introduce the effect of decoherence, and consider the effect of SR initialization from a two-mode squeezed vacuum and the dependence on the increase/decrease of number of atoms. Section VII contains our conclusion.

II. SEQUENTIAL SUPERRADIANCE AND THE EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

In this section, we briefly describe the unique properties of SR, i.e., the directional and sequential natures of the emit-
ted pulses. We will introduce the concept of sequential SR, in terms of what occurs in an elongated cigar-shaped atomic condensate. We derive the second-quantized effective Hamiltonian, where the optical fields are treated quantum mechanically, in order to take into account the interaction of all side modes with common photonic fields.

A. Sequential SR

We consider an elongated condensate, of length $L$ and width $W$, that is axially symmetric with respect to the long direction of the $z$ axis. It is optically excited with a strong pump laser of frequency $\omega_0$; detuned from the atomic resonance frequency $\omega_A$ by $\Delta = \omega_A - \omega_0$. The laser beam is directed along the $y$ axis, perpendicular to the long axis of the condensate, and linearly polarized in the $x$ axis.

When the pump laser is sufficiently strong, the occupation of atoms in the excited state becomes macroscopic, beyond the threshold for collective emission. The excited atoms, interacting through the common electromagnetic field, start to make collective spontaneous emission \[1\]. In the earliest times, relatively small number of atoms will be recoiled by emission in comparison to condensate atoms. In this so-called linear regime \[29–32\], dynamical equations can be linearized assuming time-independent macroscopic number of condensate atoms. As the condensate atoms are depleted while more and more atoms are recoiled into other momentum states after emission, such a linearization can no longer be done. The atom-optical system then evolves according to general, coupled nonlinear equations. The linear regime is where the initiation of a superradiant pulse happens due to vacuum-field or medium fluctuations \[30\]. Due to their small number, recoiled atoms and emitted photons are treated quantum mechanically and it is revealed that the initial uncorrelated atom and field states get entangled as a result of simultaneous creation of recoiled atoms and associated superradiant photons \[31\]. The subsequent development of dynamics in the nonlinear regime leads to fully developed SR pulse which eventually decays in a final dynamical stage. At the peak of SR, the collective radiation time of the system $T_{\text{SR}} = (8\pi/nL^2)T \sim 10^{-8}T \sim 10^{-10}$ s becomes much smaller than the normal spontaneous emission time $T \sim 60$ ns for typical systems, where $n$ is the density of atoms in the excited state and $\lambda$ is the resonant transition wavelength. Full rigorous and detailed quantum-mechanical treatment investigations of quantum correlations among atoms and emitted photons are not available for the regimes beyond the initial linear regime of SR.

For an elongated radiating sample, such as the condensate along the $z$ axis being discussed here, superradiant emission occurs dominantly along the $\pm \tilde{z}$ directions, i.e., emitted photons leaving the cigar-shaped sample mainly from both ends as depicted in Fig. 1. The corresponding spatial modes are called end-fire modes. They are perpendicular to the propagation direction of the pump-laser beam. Due to momentum conservation for individual scattering events, the emission of an end-fire photon is accompanied by collective recoils of the condensate atoms. The momentum of recoiled atoms is significantly larger in magnitude than the residue momentum spread of the trapped condensate. Thus, collective recoil gives rise to distinct condensate components clearly observable in the free expansion images. These are the so-called condensate side modes. When the side modes are occupied significantly, they serve as new sources for higher order SR or sequential SR. They, too, emit end-fire mode photons and contribute to the next order side modes. The resulting pattern for atomic distribution after expansion, as shown in Fig. 1, corresponds to what was observed for a certain choice of pump power and duration in the first BEC SR experiment \[8\]. The directions of the emitted end-fire mode photons and the corresponding recoiled side-mode condensate bosons are indicated with the same line type.

B. Effective Hamiltonian

The effective second-quantized Hamiltonian, governing the dynamics of sequential SR system, is derived as follows. Due to the large energy scale difference between the center of mass (CM) dynamics for the atoms ($\sim$ MHz) and the internal electronic degrees of freedom ($\sim$ PHz), we can treat their respective motions separately. As in Ref. \[31\], the Hamiltonian of an atomic condensate with two-level atoms interacting with a near-resonant laser pump takes the following form:

\[
\hat{H} = \int d^3 \tilde{r} \hat{\psi}_g^\dagger(\tilde{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{int}}(\tilde{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_g(\tilde{r}) + \int d^3 \tilde{r} \hat{\psi}_h(\tilde{r}) \times \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{int}}(\tilde{r}) + \hbar \Delta \right) \hat{\psi}_h(\tilde{r}) + \int \left[ \hbar g \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_g^\dagger(\tilde{r}) \hat{\psi}_h(\tilde{r}) + \text{H.c.} \right] \tag{1}
\]

under the dipole and rotating wave approximations. We have further neglected the static atom-atom interactions. The first two terms are the atomic Hamiltonians for the CM motion in their respective trapping potentials $[V_{\text{int}}(\tilde{r}), V_{\text{int}}(\tilde{r})]$ of the internal states. The atomic fields, described by annihilation
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The electronic excitation energy of the atom in the rotating frame is defined by the pump-laser field. The third term comes from the free electromagnetic field, while its interaction with the atoms is described with the last term (\(H_{3\gamma}\)), which includes both the laser photons and the scattered photons. The operator \(\hat{a}_k (\hat{a}_k^\dagger)\) annihilates (creates) a photon with wave vector \(k\), polarization \(\hat{\epsilon}_k\), and frequency \(\omega_k = \varepsilon_k - \omega_0\) (again in the rotating frame with frequency \(\omega_0\)). \(g(k) = \frac{\pi^2 |k|^2 / 2\hbar\epsilon_0 (2m)^{3/2}}{|k| \times \hat{k}}\) is the dipole coupling coefficient, with \(d\rightarrow \langle \hat{r}^2 \hat{g}\rangle\) the matrix element for the atomic dipole transition.

In typical SR experiments [8], the detuning \(\Delta \sim 10^7\) Hz is much larger than both the CM motion energy scale \(\sim 10^6\) Hz and the Rabi frequency \(\Omega_0 = 10^8\) Hz. The excited-state operator can be eliminated adiabatically via replacing \(\hat{\psi}_k(r) = -(1/\Delta) \int d\hat{k} \hat{g}(k) \hat{e}^{i\hat{k} \cdot \hat{r}} \hat{\psi}(r)\) in the equations of motion, yielding an effective Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = \int d^3r \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{\psi}_k \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_\text{eff}(r) \right) + \int d^3k \hbar \omega_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k - \frac{\hbar^2}{\Delta} \int d^3r d^3k' \hat{g}(k,k') \hat{\psi}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k \hat{\psi}_k \hat{\psi}(r),
\]

with \(\hat{g}(k,k',r) = g^*(k) g(k') \exp[-i(k - \hat{k}) \cdot r]\), proportional to the effective coupling between the absorbed and subsequently emitted photons.

The atomic field operators can be expanded in terms of the quasiparticle excitations of BEC \(\hat{\psi}_k(r) = \sum_q (q\rvert r) \hat{c}_{q,k}\) as described in Ref. [32], with \(\hat{c}_q (\hat{\epsilon}_q^\dagger)\) annihilating (creating) a scattered boson in the momentum side mode \(q\) in the form \((r\rvert q) = \phi_q(r) e^{i\hat{q} \cdot \hat{r}}\). The initial condensate mode is described by the spatial wave function \(\phi_0(r)\). The quasimodes for excitations approximately form an orthonormal basis because \((q\rvert q') = \delta_{q,q'}\). In the second-quantized form within the side-mode representation Eq. (2) becomes

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_q \hbar \omega_q \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{c}_q + \int d^3k \hbar \omega_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k - \frac{\hbar^2}{\Delta} \sum_{q,q'} \int d^3k d^3k' \hat{g}(k,k') \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{a}_k \hat{c}_{q',k'},
\]

where \(\rho_{q,q'}(k,k') = \int d\hat{r} |\phi_0(\hat{r})|^2 e^{i(k \cdot \hat{q} - k' \cdot \hat{q}') r}\) is the structure factor of the condensate density, which is responsible for the highly directional emission of the end-fire mode photons. \(\omega_q = \hbar |q|^2 / 2m\) is the side-mode energy at the recoil momentum of \(q\). The first two terms in Eq. (3) are diagonal in their respective Fock spaces and can be omitted by performing further rotating-frame transformations \(\hat{c}_q \rightarrow \hat{c}_q e^{-i\omega_q t}\) and \(\hat{a}_k \rightarrow \hat{a}_k e^{-i\omega_k t}\). Thus, the effective Hamiltonian takes the form

\[
\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar}{\Delta} \sum_{q,q'} \int d^3kd^3k' g(k)g(k') \rho_{q,q'}(k,k') \hat{c}_q^\dagger \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k \hat{c}_{q'}, + H.c.,
\]

with \(g = g(k_k)\). We have adopted a shorthand notation where \(\hat{a}_z = \hat{a}_z\), \(\hat{a}_0 = \hat{a}_0\), \(\hat{c}_z = \hat{c}_z\), \(\hat{c}_0 = \hat{c}_0\), and \(\hat{c}_z = \hat{c}_z\). This is the model Hamiltonian involving the interplay of the four atomic side modes with three photonic modes. Before we further discuss and reveal the built-in entanglement swap mechanism for EPR-type quantum correlations in this model Hamiltonian, in the next section we shall briefly review continuous variable entanglement and extend its criteria to our case.

III. CRITERIA FOR CONTINUOUS VARIABLE ENTANGLEMENT

The existence of continuous variable entanglement is determined by a sufficient condition on the inseparability of continuous variable states as given in Ref. [34]. If the density matrix of a quantum system is inseparable within two well-defined modes [34–36], these two modes are entangled. For two entangled modes the total variance of EPR-type operators, \(\hat{u} = |\hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_2|_{/c} c\)

\[
\langle \Delta \hat{u}^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta \hat{u}^2 \rangle < (c^2 + 1/c^2)
\]

for a real number \(c\), where \(\hat{x}_{1,2} = (\hat{a}_{1,2} + \hat{a}_{1,2}^\dagger) / \sqrt{2}\) and \(\hat{p}_{1,2} = (\hat{a}_{1,2} - \hat{a}_{1,2}^\dagger) / i\sqrt{2}\) are analogous to position and momentum operators as in the case of a single harmonic oscillator. The indices correspond to mode numbers.

Defining the inseparability parameter

\[
\lambda = \langle \Delta \hat{u}^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta \hat{v}^2 \rangle - (c^2 + 1/c^2),
\]

the presence of continuous variable entanglement is then characterized by the sufficient condition \(\lambda < 0\). For the two modes to be entangled, it suffices to find only one value of \(c\) that leads to \(\lambda < 0\) and hence \(c\) can be taken at which \(\lambda\) is minimum.
The parameter $\lambda$ we adopt here clearly corresponds to an entanglement witness, but not an entanglement measure. This is because the states for more negative $\lambda$ do not necessarily correspond to more entangled states.

The total variance of the EPR operators is bounded below by the Heisenberg uncertainty relation $\langle \Delta \hat{a}^2 \rangle + \langle \Delta \hat{a}^2 \rangle \geq |c^2 - 1/c^2|$. Thus, $\lambda$ has a lower bound $\lambda_{\text{low}} = |c^2 - 1/c^2| - (c^2 + 1/c^2)$.

After minimization with respect to $c$, a more explicit expression of $\lambda$ can be given as

$$\lambda = 2\left[ c^2 (\langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_2 ) - \langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_1 + \langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_2 \right],$$

(8)

where $c^2 = ([\langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_1 - |\langle \hat{a} \rangle_1|^2]/[\langle \hat{a}^2 \rangle_2 - |\langle \hat{a} \rangle_2|^2])^{1/2}$, with $\text{sgn}(c) = -\text{sgn}(\text{Re}[\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle] - \alpha_+ \alpha_+ + \beta_+ \beta_+)$. $\alpha_+ = \text{Re}[\langle \hat{a}_+ \rangle]$, and $\beta_+ = \text{Im}[\langle \hat{a}_+ \rangle]$. In the system we study here, the bosonic mode operators $a_{1,2}$, $a_{+}$, or $a_{-}$ do not necessarily vanish for our model during time evolution. Furthermore, since the time evolutions of the two end-fire modes are symmetric in our case, we find $c^2 = 1$ and $\lambda_{\text{low}} = -2$.

In the remainder of this paper, we examine the time evolutions of the continuous variable entanglement witness $\lambda(t)$ both for the opposite end-fire modes and for the end-fire modes with side modes. This study is expected to provide insight into the temporal development and the swap of quantum correlations between different subsystems/modes. The following section is aimed at establishing an intuitive understanding of how EPR-type correlations between opposite end-fire modes are built up.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT SWAP MECHANISM

In Sec. VI we will exhibit the numerical results for the time evolution of the entanglement parameter $\lambda(t)$ governed by the Hamiltonian (5). We will observe that there exist regions in time where $\lambda$ becomes negative, i.e., conclusive evidence for the presence of entanglement during dynamical evolution. In this section, we hope to provide an intuitive understanding to support the result revealed through the numerical approach. We will show that it is due to the presence of an inherent swap mechanism which leads to the generation of the EPR photon pair. We shall examine the dynamical behavior of the system in two different time regimes: the early times when the first side modes just start to grow and the later times when the second-order side mode contributes to the dynamics.

A. Early times

In the initial stage, occupation of the second-order side mode ($|c_2\rangle$) can be neglected. During this initiation period of the short-time dynamics, the number of atoms in the zero-momentum state can be assumed undepleted $\tilde{c}_0 = \sqrt{N} e^{it\phi_1}$ with a constant $N$ standing for the number of condensed atoms like in the treatment of degenerate parametric processes. Since the pump is very strong and the number of pump photons is much larger than the number of condensate atoms $M \gg N$, it can also be treated within the parametric pump approximation $\tilde{c}_0 \approx \sqrt{N} e^{it\phi_1}$ as undepleted. Thus, the initial behavior of the system is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_1 = -\hbar \chi_1 [e^{i\theta_1} (\hat{a}^\dagger_1 \hat{c}^\dagger_1 + \hat{a}^\ddagger_1 \hat{c}^\dagger_1) + \text{H.c.}],$$

(9)

with $\chi_1 = \sqrt{NM} |g|^2/\Delta$ and $\theta_1 = \theta_0 + \phi_1$ is the initial phases difference. This form of $\hat{H}_1$ is exactly the same as that of two uncoupled optical parametric amplifiers (OPAs). It allows for the growth of the first-order side modes [32] as well as the entanglement of side-mode atoms with the end-fire mode photons [37]. The solution to $\hat{H}_1$ in the Heisenberg picture is given by the following time dependencies of operators [38]:

$$\hat{a}_\pm(t) = \cosh(\chi_1 t) \hat{a}_\pm + i e^{i\theta_1} \sinh(\chi_1 t) \hat{c}_\mp,$$

(10)

$$\hat{c}_\pm(t) = \cosh(\chi_1 t) \hat{c}_\pm + i e^{i\theta_1} \sinh(\chi_1 t) \hat{a}_\mp,$$

(11)

where the operators without time arguments are at the initial time.

The side modes and the end-fire modes are initially unoccupied $|a_+, a_-, c_+, c_-\rangle = |0, 0, 0, 0\rangle$ or taken to be in their respective vacuum states. The time dependencies for the populations of the side modes and end-fire modes come out as

$$\langle \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger \hat{a}_\pm \rangle = \sinh^2(\chi_1 t),$$

(12)

which is always positive $\lambda_{\text{re}} > 0$. On the other hand, the correlation between the end-fire mode ($e$) and side mode ($s$), scattered in the opposite directions, takes the following form:

$$\lambda_{\text{re}} = 2[\sinh^2(\chi_1 t) - |\sin(\theta_1)| \sinh(2\chi_1 t)],$$

(13)

which starts with $\lambda_{\text{re}}(t=0)=0$ and evolves down to $\lambda_{\text{re}}(t=2) = -2$; the lowest possible value of $\lambda_{\text{low}} = -2$ imposed by the Heisenberg uncertainty at $|\sin(\theta_1)| = 1$.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), this is further supported by more elaborate results from numerical calculations of $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{\text{re}}$. Same conclusions can be seen for the analytical results (12) over the range $t=0-0.3$ ms in Fig. 2(a) and (13) over the range $t=0-0.2$ ms in Fig. 2(b).

B. Later times

At later times, the first-order side modes become significantly populated, giving rise to noticeable second sequence of SR from the edges of these side-mode condensates. In this case, the occupancy for the $|c_1\rangle$ mode is not an important issue, but the $|c_2\rangle$ mode becomes populated due to the second-order SR.

We construct an approximate model by assuming that the occupation of $|c_2\rangle$ is not changing too much or effective treating it as in the steady state with $\tilde{c}_2 \approx \sqrt{N_2} e^{-i\phi_2}$. $N_2$ is the number of atoms in the $|c_2\rangle$ state. The later stage dynamics of the system, where the second-order SR is effective, is then governed by the model Hamiltonian
FIG. 2. (Color online) The temporal evolutions for atomic side-mode populations and optical-field intensities. $I_x$, $n_x$, $n_0$, and $n_2$ denote occupancy numbers of bosonic modes $|a_x\rangle$, $|c_\perp\rangle$, $|c_\parallel\rangle$, and $|c_2\rangle$, respectively. $n_x(t)$ and $I_x(t)$ overlap except for a short time interval near $t=t_0=0.35$ ms. Notice that $n_0$ and $n_2$ are scaled for visual clarity.

\begin{equation}
\hat{H}_2 = -\hbar \chi_2 [e^{i\phi_2}(\hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{c}_- + \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{c}_+) + \text{H.c.}],
\end{equation}

with $\chi_2 = \sqrt{N\Delta} |g|^2/\Delta$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \phi_2$. As before we again neglect the depletion of the pump $\delta_0 = -\sqrt{M} e^{i\phi_0}$.

This model $\hat{H}_2$ is also exactly solvable. The time dependencies of the annihilation operators in the Heisenberg picture are

\begin{equation}
\hat{a}_z(t) = \cos(\chi_2 \Delta t) \hat{a}_z + i e^{i\phi_2} \sin(\chi_2 \Delta t) \hat{c}_z,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{c}_z(t) = \cos(\chi_2 \Delta t) \hat{c}_z + i e^{-i\phi_2} \sin(\chi_2 \Delta t) \hat{a}_z,
\end{equation}

where $t > t_0$, the operators without time arguments are at $t_0$, and $\Delta t = t - t_0$. We can approximately connect these two models together into smooth temporal dynamics if we use the solutions of $\hat{H}_1$ as the initial state for dynamics due to $\hat{H}_2$ so that $\hat{a}_z(t_0)$ and $\hat{c}_z(t_0)$ are calculated at $t = t_0$ from the Eqs. (10) and (11), respectively. We define $t_0$ as the time at which all the $|c_0\rangle$ atoms are scattered into the side modes and thus it is determined from $\sin^2(\chi_1 t_0) = N/2$.

In this later dynamical stage, the entanglement witness parameter in between the end-fire modes $(e)$ is evaluated to be $(\lambda = \lambda_{ee})$

\begin{equation}
\lambda(t) = 4 \sinh^2(\chi_1 t_0) - |\cos(\bar{\theta})\sin(2\chi_2 \Delta t)| \sinh(2\chi_1 t_0),
\end{equation}

where $\bar{\theta} = \theta_1 + \theta_2$. When $|\cos(\bar{\theta})| = 1$, $\lambda$ evolves from $2N$ down to the minimum possible negative value of $\lambda_{\text{lim}} = -2$ at $\Delta t = \pi/4 \chi_2$. An analogous calculation for entanglement between the end-fire mode $(e)$ and side mode $(s)$ gives

\begin{equation}
\lambda_{es}(t) = 4 \sinh^2(\chi_1 t_0) - 2|\sin(\bar{\theta})\cos(2\chi_2 \Delta t)| \sinh(2\chi_1 t_0),
\end{equation}

which starts at $\lambda_{es}(t_0) = -2$ and increases to values of order $\sim N$ for proper choices of $\theta_2$. Many of these features revealed from simple analytic models find their parallels in numerical solutions as displayed in Fig. 2.

The results from the two model Hamiltonians are found to depend on the initial phase difference between $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$, but not the individual phases. Such a phase dependence of the results is analogous to the cases of parametric down conversion and the two-mode squeezing [38]. The phases introduced in the second stage reflect the accumulating temporal phase difference of the operators through the time evolution. In the numerical calculation it is sufficient to assign initial phases for the pump laser and the condensate or just their difference.

Without any detailed analysis, simply consider the behaviors of Eqs. (13) and (17) instead, one can already appreciate the built-in entanglement swap mechanism within the superradiant BEC in action. The entanglement created between the side mode and end-fire mode Eq. (13) in the initial stage is swapped to entanglement between the two end-fire modes Eq. (17) due to the second-order SR. The model Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1$ couples the $|a_x\rangle \leftrightarrow |c_\perp\rangle$ modes, but leaves $|a_x\rangle \leftrightarrow |a_\perp\rangle$ modes decoupled at the initial times. The model Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_2$, at later times, couples the $|a_x\rangle \leftrightarrow |c_\perp\rangle$ states. Two noninteracting modes $|a_x\rangle \leftrightarrow |a_\perp\rangle$ are coupled through their common interaction with the same side mode and become entangled due to the swap mechanism.

V. NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF THE ENTANGLEMENT PARAMETER

We study the dynamics of the entanglement parameter $\lambda(t)$ and the accompanying populations for the fields $(I_x(t), I_x(t))$ and the atomic states $(n_x, n_{1x}, n_{2x}, n_{3x})$. Their complete temporal evolution is governed by the Hamiltonian Eq. (5). Our calculation will be numerically obtained, aided by a decorrelation approximation that neglects higher-order correlations. The numerical results will be illustrated and discussed in the next section.

The entanglement parameter $\lambda$, given in Eq. (8), is determined by the expectation values of both $\hat{a}_z$ operators and their products. Their equations of motion in operator forms can be derived from the full Hamiltonian Eq. (5). The dynamics of two operator products is found to depend on four operator products, four operator products depend on six operator products, and so on. Such a hierarchy of operator equations is impossible to manage in general. We therefore resort to a decorrelation approximation that truncates it to a closed form. The usual treatment of this kind [39] for the SR system closes the chain early by a simple decorrelation of atomic and optical operators, which is clearly inappropriate when entanglement swap is to be studied.

We adopt a decorrelation rule that factorizes condensate and the second-order side-mode operators in operator products. Since quantum correlations between the condensate and
other modes are expected to be weak due to the almost classical coherent-state-like nature for the condensate and its diminishing population when the second-order side mode is significantly populated at later stages of dynamics. Operators for the pump photons will also be factorized, again relying on the almost classical coherent-state nature of the pump field.

Our approach makes it possible to keep quantum correlations between the end-fire modes and the intermediate side modes. The hierarchy of equations is closed under \( \langle xyz \rangle = \langle x \rangle \langle y \langle z \rangle \), with \( x, y \in \{c_1, c_2, c_1^\dagger, c_2^\dagger \} \) and \( z \in \{c_0, c_0^\dagger, c_2, c_2^\dagger \} \). The resulting equations, governing the dynamics of the expectations, are given in the Appendix. These equations are solved numerically.

For the initial conditions, both the end-fire modes and side modes are taken to be their vacuum Fock states while the laser and the condensate are in coherent states. We consider a system with typical parameters of a condensate with number of atoms \( N=8 \times 10^6 \) and a pump with \( M=2 \times 10^8 \) photons. Additionally, phenomenological decoherence rates are introduced by assuming the same damping rates [33] for the atomic and photonic modes. The decay rates are obtained from the effective decay of the experimentally measured contrast for the atomic density distribution pattern [8]. In addition, we also explored an interesting scheme where the coupled Eqs. (A1)-(A18) were solved, in the presence of phenomenological damping, for an initial two-mode squeezed vacuum (for the end-fire modes) with a squeezing parameter \( \xi = r \exp(i \theta) \).

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Sec. IV we discussed the origins of the entanglement swap in sequential SR. In this section, in order to provide for a more detailed and quantitative understanding, we present results obtained from numerical calculations. We will discuss the time evolution of the entanglement parameter \( \lambda(t) \), between the two end-fire modes, within the parameter regime of the experiment [8]. At first, we will disregard decoherence and examine the nature of fully coherent sequential dynamics. We will show that \( \lambda \) attains negative values, confirming the presence of entanglement due to the swap mechanism as we intuitively discussed in the previous section. We then introduce effective damping rates specific to the experimental situation. Finally, we will examine the effect of initializing the quantum dynamics of our model system from in a two-mode (end-fire modes) squeezed vacuum, in the presence of decoherence and dissipations. We will end with investigations of the dependence of correlations on the number of condensate atoms.

A. Dynamics of entanglement

In Fig. 3, we plot the temporal evolution of optical-field intensities and atomic side-mode populations. The plot is found to be totally symmetric with respect to \( t=t_c \), \( t_c=0.35 \) ms. The peak in the intensity after \( t_c \) is the analog of the Chiao ringing [40]. In the experiments such a complete ringing cannot be observed due to the finite lifetime of the excited levels, which is treated in the following section.

In Fig. 2, we plot the temporal evolution of entanglement parameters \( \lambda_{\text{se}} \) (photon-photon) and \( \lambda_{\text{re}} \) (atom-photon) over the population dynamics, depicted in Fig. 3. The lower panel of Fig. 2 demonstrates the swap dynamics. The initial atom-photon entanglement \( \lambda_{\text{re}} \) is seen to evolve continuously into entanglement between the two end-fire modes \( \lambda \). Both the parameters \( \lambda_{\text{se}} \) and \( \lambda \) are found to be able to reach down to the lowest possible value, \( \lambda_{\text{low}}=-2 \), set by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (as in Sec. III). The complete numerical results match well with the analytic solutions, discussed previously in Sec. IV, for the model Hamiltonians (9) at early times and (14) at later times.
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modes. Two other reasons also contribute to the repopulation of the condensate mode: first, the neglect of the propagation-induced departure of the end-fire mode photons from the atomic medium and second, the neglect of the other two second-order side modes $|c_{3k_0\pm 2k_0}\rangle$ for atoms to get into. Two end-fire modes get indirectly coupled by the entanglement swapping and between $t=0.30-0.35$ ms $\lambda(t)$ gradually becomes negative.

Entanglement of the end-fire modes arises at $t=t_c=0.35$ ms, when the $|c_1\rangle$ mode is maximally occupied as shown in Fig. 2. The minimum value of $\lambda$, which occurs at $t=t_c$, is found to coincide with the maximum value of $n_3(t)$.

When $t>t_c$, however, due to our limited mode approximation of not including even higher side modes, we cannot study any effects which could potentially give rise to higher-order correlations, such as the onset of the third sequence of SR. The oscillatory Chiao type ringing revivals in the present result after $t>t_c$ mainly arise from the exclusion of decoherence, dephasing, dissipations, and the higher order side modes in the model system. In the present work, we limited ourselves to a particular side-mode pattern as actually observed in available experiments [8]. Despite its simplicity, we find our model can reasonably explain effects of decoherence and dephasing on the entanglement dynamics, which is further illustrated in the next section.
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The introduction of experimentally reported decoherence rate of $\gamma/2\pi=1.3 \times 10^4$ Hz phenomenologically into the dynamical equations for the coupled system is found to not change the nature of the entanglement and swap dynamics significantly, which is supported by the numerical results shown in Fig. 4. We find that $\lambda$ can still become negative in certain time window, although it now stops short of reaching the theoretical lower bound of $-2$.

In the lower panel in Fig. 4 the temporal window for the negative values of $\lambda$ or the presence of entanglement is found to become narrower and the minimum value of $\lambda$, $\lambda_{\text{min}}$, is now somewhat larger for stronger decoherence, as may be expected. According to Sec. III, a less negative value of $\lambda$ does not necessarily imply less entanglement because $\lambda$ is simply an entanglement witness parameter but not an entanglement measure. On the other hand, it is still beneficial to aim for lower values of $\lambda$ because the numerical results we obtain associate lower values with longer entanglement durations and furthermore more tolerant to decoherence, which means photon-photon entanglement can withstand the higher decoherence rates.

For this aim, we choose to consider end-fire modes which are initially in two-mode squeezed vacuum states. The lower panel in Fig. 4 shows that an initially two-mode squeezed vacuum, for the end-fire modes, can indeed compensate to a certain degree for decoherence. This shows that initially induced two-mode squeezing (or entanglement) in between the end-fire modes enhances their subsequent entanglement after the entanglement swap.

This observation can be interpreted as follows based on the numerical results. Any initial correlation between the $|c_2\rangle$ modes. Two other reasons also contribute to the repopulation of the condensate mode: first, the neglect of the propagation-induced departure of the end-fire mode photons from the atomic medium and second, the neglect of the other two second-order side modes $|c_{3k_0\pm 2k_0}\rangle$ for atoms to get into. Two end-fire modes get indirectly coupled by the entanglement swapping and between $t=0.30-0.35$ ms $\lambda(t)$ gradually becomes negative.
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We find that the most efficient enhancement occurs along the $N=100$ atoms and ignored the phenomenological decoherence. We performed this study for a small condensate with $N_{\text{ref}}=100$ and find that the most efficient enhancement occurs along the line $\theta_{\text{ref}}=\pi$. For larger condensates we find that the center of Fig. 6, where $\lambda>0$, spreads out to the $\theta_{\text{ref}}=0$ and $\theta_{\text{ref}}=\pi$ edges as $N$ is increased. Entanglement is enhanced mainly along $\theta_{\text{ref}}=0$ and $\theta_{\text{ref}}=\pi$ lines.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We investigate photon-photon entanglement between the counterpropagating end-fire modes of a sequentially superradiant atomic Bose-Einstein condensate. We calculate the temporal evolution of the continuous variable entanglement witness parameter for realistic experimental parameters in the cw-pump laser regime [8] and find that EPR-type correlations can be generated between the oppositely directed end-fire modes despite the fact that they do not directly interact.

The generation of entanglement is shown to be due to a built-in entanglement swap mechanism we uncover in the sequential SR system. It is shown that end-fire mode photons become entangled immediately after the second sequence of the superradiance. In the second sequence, one of the end-fire modes interacts with the side mode, with which the other end-fire mode has already interacted before in the first sequence. This mechanism allows for swapping the entanglement established between the end-fire modes and the side modes in the first sequence to the entanglement of the end-fire modes per se.

Increasing the number of atoms in the condensate, or initializing superradiance with a two-mode squeezed vacuum (for the end-fire modes), is found to be beneficial to the efficient construction of entanglement between end-fire modes via the increasing of entanglement durations and making the entanglement more tolerant to decoherence.

The initial phase difference of the incoming pump laser and the condensate, the phase and the amplitude of the squeezing parameter for the end-fire mode vacuum, and the number of atoms in a condensate and its geometric shape all play certain roles in order to achieve the optimum ERP-type correlations in between the end-fire modes and these parameters are discussed in detail in the present paper for the cases of both small and large condensates.
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APPENDIX

We calculate temporal evolution of entanglement parameter $\lambda(t)$, given in Eq. (8), starting from the Heisenberg operator equations, obtained from Eq. (5). We evaluate the expectations for both single operators and two operator products. We arrive at a closed set from the expectations via performing decorrelation approximation, in parallel with the development and understanding of the swap mechanism (Sec. IV).

The resulting closed set of equations for expectation values is given through Eqs. (A1)–(A18), where time is scaled by frequency $\alpha=g^{2}/2\Delta$, with $g=2\times10^{3}$ Hz, while operators are not scaled. $\alpha$ is related to $\gamma$ of Ref. [33] as $\gamma=\sqrt{\gamma}10.7$ Hz. Phenomenological decay rates can be introduced in Eqs. (A1)–(A18) by scaling $\gamma_{\text{ref}}=1.3\times10^{4}$ Hz with $\alpha$. However, since the decay rates are introduced, in [33], for three-operator products, we use $\gamma_{\text{ref}}/3$ for single operators and $2\gamma_{\text{ref}}/3$ for two-operator products. We have also checked the parallelism of our density dynamics with [33], which are in good agreement with the experiment [8]

$$
\frac{d(a_{\pm})}{dt} = i(a_{0})(c_{\pm})^\dagger(c_{0}) + (c_{\pm})^\dagger(c_{\pm}),
$$

(A1)
QUANTUM CORRELATED LIGHT PULSES FROM... 

\[ \frac{d(a_0)}{dt} = i\langle a_{-c} (a_0^\dagger) c_0 \rangle + \langle a_{-c} (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle + \langle a_{-c} (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_{c} (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A2) 

\[ \frac{d(c_0)}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A3) 

\[ \frac{d(a_{-c})}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A4) 

\[ \frac{d(c_2)}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A5) 

\[ \frac{d(a_{-c})}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0^\dagger (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A6) 

\[ \frac{d(a_{c})}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0^\dagger (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A7) 

\[ \frac{d(c_0)}{dt} = 2i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A8) 

\[ \frac{d(a_{-c})}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0^\dagger (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A9) 

\[ \frac{d(c_0)}{dt} = i\langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0^\dagger (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A10) 

\[ \frac{d(c_2)}{dt} = 2i\langle a_0 (a_{-c}^\dagger) (c_0) \rangle + \langle a_0 (a_{c}^\dagger) (c_2) \rangle, \]  

(A11)